INDEX

Of

WITNESSES

Defense' Witnesses	Page
KAGESA, Sadaaki (by Deposition)	23970
Direct (read) by Mr. Roberts	23970
Cross (read) by Judge Hsiang in the absence of Judge Nyi	24008
MORNING RECESS	24016
Cross (cont'd reading) by Judge Hsiang	24017
NOON RECESS	24058
Cross (cont'd reading) by Judge Hsiang	24059
AFTERNOON RECESS	24114
Cross (cont'd reading) by Judge Hsiang	24115
Redirect by Mr. KATO, Takahisa (cont'd reading by Judge Hsiang)	24144
Redirect by Mr. Roberts (cont'd reading by Judge Hsiang)	24146
Preceedings Concluded	247 50

INDEX

Of

EXHIBITS

Doc.	Def. No.	Pros. No.	Description	For Ident.	In Eviden
1282	2721A		Affidavit of KAGESA Sadaski	23975	
	2721B	1005-(4)	Telegram sent by Witness KAGESA, Sadaaki (pseudo name KAWAMURA) to SHIRAISHI dated 19 April 1939	24047	4m - 1
	2721C-1	1005-(6)	Telegrams (3) sent by Witness KAGESA, Sadaaki (pseudo name KAWAMURA)date 20 April 1939	7	
	2721C-2 2721C-3				
	2721D	1005-(8)	Telegram sent by Witness KAGESA, Sadaaki (pseudo name KAWAMURA) dated 24 April 1939	24049	
	2721E-1 2721E-2 2721E-3	1005-(11)	Telegrams (3) sent by Witness KAGESA, Sadaaki (pseudo name KAWAMURA) dated 28 April (2) and 30 April (1) 1939	24051	
	2721F	1005-(13)	Telegram sent by Witness KAGESA, Sadaaki to Chief of the 2nd Depart- ment dated 3 May 1939	24051	

INDEX

0 F

EXHIBITS

(cont'd)

Doc. Def. Pros.
No. No. Description

2721G 1005-(19)

Telegram sent by
Witness KAGESA,
Sadaaki deted
23 May 1939 to
Chief of General
Affairs Section 24052

2721H 1005-(20)

Telegram sent by
Witness KAGESA,
Sadaaki deted 30
May 1939 to Chief
of the 2nd Department

24052

Wednesday, 11 June 1947 INTERNATIONAL MILITARY TRIBUNAL FOR THE FAR EAST Court House of the Tribunal War Ministry Building Tokyo, Japan The Tribunal met, pursuant to adjournment, at 0930. Appearances: For the Tribunal, same as before. For the Prosecution Section, same as before. For the Defense Section, same as before. (English to Japanese and Japanese to English interpretation was made by the Language Section, IMTFE.)

Duda & Whale

MARSHAL OF THE COURT: The International Military Tribunal for the Far East is now in session.

THE PRESIDENT: All the accused are present.

The accused TOJO will be conferring with his counsel,
with the Court's permission, until eleven o'clock this
morning.

I have to give the decision of the Tribunal on the application by the defense for a recess.

At the close of the prosecution's case on 3 February last, the defense applied for a thirty-day recess to enable them to consider the prosecution's evidence and make a proper presentation of the defense evidence. They assured the Tribunal that this was necessary and that if granted they would not require another recess before June.

However, the Tribunal granted only twenty days, and at the end of March the defense applied for a further recess to enable them to carry on, as they had completely exhausted their material at that stage. A recess of seven days was then granted.

Now the defense apply for a recess of six weeks from the 23d of June, and they assure us that this is absolutely necessary to enable them to continue to present the defense evidence. They suggest that if the recess is not granted the case will come to a

standstill in any event. They also assure us that if the six weeks they apply for is granted, they will be able to carry on without any further recess.

As the six weeks will terminate long before the not weather is over, we are satisfied the defense do not desire the recess to avoid working in such weather.

(The interpretation section will relay the rest of what I have to say. They have not a copy of it.)

We must accept the solemn assurance of the defense that this recess is essential. We, therefore, have decided to grant the recess to the full extent applied for, but on the faith of the assurance of the defense that no further recess will be necessary to enable them to complete their case.

At page 23,949 of yesterday's transcript, there should be shown the fact that Justice Cramer resumed his seat on the bench after the afternoon recess.

Mr. Roberts.

MR. ROBERTS: I shall proceed to read exhibit 2721, the commission of KAGESA, Sadaaki.

In view of the fact that exhibit 2721 includes the affidavit of the witness, I shall omit the reading of the commission and the formal parts, and begin on

3

4

1

6

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

14

15

16 17

18

19

21

22

24

page 5 of the report.

(Reading:)

3

4

5

6

7

SADAAKI KAGESA, called as a witness on behalf of the defense, being first duly sworn, testified through Japanese interpreters as follows:

DIRECT EXAMINATION

8

BY MR. ROBERTS:

9 10

May the witness be shown defense document 1282, please?

11 12

Will you examine this document, Mr. KAGESA, and tell us whether or not it is your sworn affidavit?

14

13

This is my affidavit.

15 16

Are there any corrections that you desire to make at the present time, Mr. KAGESA?

17

Perhaps for the record we should have the witness state his name and his address.

13 19

I should like to make a correction.

20

21

23

24

There i	s one typographical error.
Q	Tell us what page, please.
A	Section 12.
	THE COMMISSIONER: Can the interpreters
tell us	where that is in the English translation?
	MR. ROBERTS: Is that paragraph 12?
	THE MOMITOR: That is right, sir; numbered
paragra	ph 12.
	THE INTERPRETER: Section 12 begins at the
oottom	of page 11 in the English text.
Q	What was the correction?
A	Page 15, 5 in parentheses.
Q	That is on page 15 of the English text
and the	subdivision marked 5?
A	It says here that in connection with the
address	given by Dr. Sun Yat-sen in Kobe, the 12th
year of	Taisho, this should read the "13th" year of
Taisho.	
Q	Are there any other corrections?
A	That is all, sir.
G	Will you please state your name and address
for the	record, please, Mr. KAGESA?
A	KAGESA, Sadaaki.
	THE MONITOR: Just a minute, in this
Japanes	e translation here the word "Sun Yat-sen's"

principle -- the Japanese translation says, "Sun . 1 Yat-sen's" principle. In his original it says, 2 "Mr. Sun Yat-sen," that is, Mr. or Teacher or 3 Professor Sun Yat-sen. He just pointed out the 4 mistake and wishes to have it corrected in the 5 copies, the Japanese copies. THE COMMISSIONER: That is unimportant. 7 We all know who Sun Yat-sen was. 8 MR. ROBERTS: Has he given his name and 9 address? 10 THE INTERPRETER: Just the name; he just 11 gave his name. 12 BY MR. ROBERTS (Continued): 13 Q Please state your address. 14 A First National Hospital. 15 16 Q Are you also known by the name of Yoshiaki? 17 A No, only Sadaaki. 18 MR. ROBERTS: I ask that in the English 19 text, the name be changed from "Yoshiaki" to "Sadaaki", wherever it so appears. 21 THE COMMISSIONER: The affidavit as identified 22 and sworn to by the witness will be received in evidence. 23 Yes, Mr. Sutton. 24

25

MR. SUTTON: May it please your Honor, counsel had not tendered the affidavit.

....

1 2

3

4

5

7

8

10

11

12 13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

23 24

25

MR. ROBERTS: No, I am just about to tender it. I formally tender in evidence defense document No. 1282.

MR. SUTTON: May it please the Court, the prosecution objects to and moves to strike out those portions of the affidavit which refer to documents and purport to give their contents, which documents are not produced and no reason is given for the failure to produce them. A number of such references appear in the affidavit. We draw particular attention to the following:

- (1) Section 5, pages 3 and 4, the documents entitled, "Tentative Terms of Peace between Japan and China," and "The Policy for the Adjustment of Relations between Japan and China."
- (2) Section 10, page 10, the last paragraph of that section which purports to give the contents of the circular telegrams of 16 January 1940.
- (3) Section 13, pages 16 and 17, the provisions of the document entitled "request concerning the realization of the principle of respecting sovereignty." This objection applies particularly to items (a) to (e) inclusive in Section 13 on page 17.
 - (4) Section 14, page 18, the New Year's

statement, being the last paragraph of Section 14. THE COMMISSIONER: Is that the only objection offered to the document, Mr. Sutton? MR. SUTTON: Those are the only objections, sir. THE COMMISSIONER: As a matter of convenience I will accept the affidavit as it stands, have it attached to the depositions, and marked with the letter "A" for identification. That is done without prejudice to the objection raised by the prosecution inasmuch as the decision upon the objection raised is more proper to be determined by the Tribunal than by this Commission. 14 Have you anything further? 15 Yes, Mr. Roberts. 16 MR. ROBERTS: It was my intention to ask the Court's permission to read the affidavit at this 18 time. 19 THE COMMISSIONER: I assume that all counsel 20 present will have read the English translation and Japanese counsel present will have read the Japanese original. That being so, I do not think it is necessary to detain the witness for the mere formality of 24 reading the document but I will ask the recording staff to record it at this place in the depositions as if,

in fact it had been read and, as it may be referred to page by page, I will ask the recorders to note as they transcribe it where each page commences. On whose behalf, Mr. Roberts, do you 4 tender the witness? MR. ROBERTS: He is being examined in 6 the general phase on behalf of defense counsel in

THE COMMISSIONER: Do you mean by that he is tendered on behalf of all the accused?

MR. ROBERTS: I cannot say that he is being tendered by all of the accused but I would say that in general he is being tendered on behalf of the accused,

THE COMMISSIONER: I am afraid that is not satisfactory, Mr. Roberts. You who are tendering him should be able to inform the Court as to which of the accused are having him tendered as their witness. Can you tell me?

MR. ROBERTS: I understand that he can be tendered on behalf of all of the accused.

THE COMMISSIONER: You mean by that that he is, in fact, being tendered on behalf of all the accused.

(Whereupon, the document above referred to was marked defense exhibit "A" for identification

5

7

8

general.

9

11 12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23 24

1 2

and the English translation thereof is copied into the record as follows:)

Page³

"1. I, KAGESA, Sadaaki, am an ex-Licutement General, and now recuperating at the 1st National Hospital from pulmonary tuberculosis.

6

7

8

"2. I had served as Chief of the Chinese Section of the General Staff Office from August of the 12th year of Showa (1937), and was appointed Chief of the Wilitary Affairs Section of the Military

10

Affairs Bureau of the War Ministry in June 1938.

11

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

23 24

25

"In accordance with the decision of the HIRANUMA Cabinet's Five Ministers Council in April of the 14th year of Showa (1939) with respect to Mr. Wang Ching-wei's Japan-China peace movement, I assisted Mr. Wang Ching-wei in removing to Shanghai as he, having moved to Hanoi from Chungking at that time, was planning the peace movement. He was beginning to feel his personal danger. I helped him continuously in his movement of establishing the Central Government. At the establishment of Wang's Government towards the end of March in the 15th year of Showa (1940), I became his highest military adviser and held the position till May of the 17th year of Showa (1942).

"Therefore, I am well acquainted with the

Page 1 (Cont.)

details of the establishment and the character of the Nanking Government which had Wang Ching-wei at

2

5

9 10

Page

12

13

14 15

16

17

13 19

20

21 22

23

24 25

its head.

I am not very well acquainted with the peace negotiations carried out through the medium of Mr. Trautmann, German Ambassador to China, from the end of 1937 to the beginning of 1938 since they were presided over by the Minister of Foreign _ Affairs. However, I recollect that the National Government once was about to accept the terms of the Japanese (p. 2) Government but on the 14th of January it suddenly sent an answer contrary to the expectation of the Japanese Government.

"The attitude of the National Government towards these negotiations may be seen from an essay of Wang Ching-wei's entitled 'For An Instance' published on the 27th of March 1939.

"Upon receipt of the National Government's reply of the 14th of January the Japanese Government drew the conclusion that the Chinese Government had no sincerity in the peace negotiations between China and Japan and announced on January 16 that henceforth it would have nothing to do with the National Government. It was not because the Japanese Government abandoned all hopes for an overall peace between

Page 2 (Cont.)

3

5

6

7 8

0

10

11

13

14

16

17

Page

20

21

22

23

25

China and Japan. Japan was very eager for it and gave up the peace effort with the National Government which was judged to be unfaithful to the idea of general peace. She intended to accomplish the same purpose in some other way.

"4. In the spring of 1938 Mr. Kao Tsung-wu, former Director of the Bureau of Asiatic Affairs, and Mr. Tung Tao-ning, former Chief of a section of the Bureau of Asiatic Affairs, came to Tokyo in succession. I met them in the capacity of Chief of the Chinese Section of the Military Affairs Bureau. Their purpose of visiting Tokyo was, in fine, to make the Japanese Government understand that 'once the Japanese Government disregarded the Chiang Regime, some other person than Chiang Kai-shek must be sought to invite peace between Japan and China. For that purpose no one was better suited than Mr. Wang Ching-wei, who feeling (p. 3) the necessity of expeditiously settling the problem between Japan and China is advocating peace. His assertion, however, would never be accepted by the National Government. Therefore there was no alternative but to make Chiang Kai-shek listen to peace overtures by launching a national peace movement outside the Government.

"The Japanese Government seemed to have no

Page 3 (Cont.)

3

8

9

particular objections to Mr. Kao's plan.

"After that, however, the Japanese did not make any positive overtures to Mr. Wang Ching-wei.

"5. As we heard nothing further from
Mr. Kao Tsung Wu, we thought that his movement had
failed and did not take any particular pains to investigate into the matter. But in the autumn of the
same year (1938), Colonel IMAI of the General Staff
who happened to go to Shanghai on official business,
returned to Tokyo with the 'Tentative Terms of Peace
between Japan and China' which had been drawn up by
Mr. Kao and Mr. Mei Ssu-ping.

"The Central military authorities made some amendments to that tentative plan in accordance with 'the policy for the adjustment of relations between Japan and China' which had already been drawn up, and I together with Colonel IMAI was ordered to proceed with the terms to Shanghai on the 19th of November and transmitted them to Messrs. Kno and Mei. Since they gave us their opinions containing some desires on the part of China, we made several amendments. In form, this plan was different from the KONCE statement of the 22d of December of the same year, but in substance (p. 4) it was almost the same. In addition to that, the Chinese proposed that

19

21

22

23

Page 24

NY

Page A.

IMr. Wang escape from Chungking according to a prearranged plan and thereupon the Japanese Government
would be expected to announce the terms of peace.'
This was due to the fact that in order to give
impetus to the development of Mr. Wang's peace movement it was necessary to clarify what Japan desired
of China.

tive superiors, and the War Minister reported them to the Five Ministers Council and obtained its assent. I remember it was on the 25th of November.

"6. Mr. Wang agreed to the result of our conversations at Shanghai and escaped from Chungking on the 18th of December 1938, according to a prearranged plan and reached Hanoi in French Indo-China on the 20th.

"Monoe's three principles' regarding the policy for the adjustment of relations between Japan and China on the 22d o' the same month, while Mr. Wang in response to the KONOE statement sent circular telegrams containing peace representations to the Central Office of the Kuomintang Party, Chiang, President of the Kuomintang Party, and the Central Executive Committee. In these telegrams he argued the necessity

Page 4 (Cont.)

4 5

Page 5

of peace between Japan and China, stated the impossibility of resistance, and explained that, once Japan's attitude had been made clear by the KONOE statement further resistance was useless. Thus he earnestly desired reconsideration of the National Government.

(P. 5) "7. At this juncture, I must go
into the history of the KONOE statement, and recollect the broad points of view of Premier KONOE, Navy
Minister YONAI, War Minister ITAGAKI, Foreign Minister
ARITA and Finance Minister ISHIWATARI with respect
to the adjustment of relations between Japan and
China.

"In view of the attitude of the National Government at that time, a fairly long war was to be expected. It is natural that the Japanese demands upon China would increase, in proportion with the prolongation of the war resulting in the increase of sacrifices and the magnification of victories. But what Japan wanted of China was the co-existence and co-prosperity of Japan and China based on a hearty collaboration between the two nations. At this point, in accordance with the various Ministers' idea that Japan's grand policy embracing what she desired of China must be decided as the National policy, the

Page 5 (Cont.)

2

G 3

r 4

e 5

h 6

r 7

g 8

L 9

t 11

e 12

13

14

Pago 18

17 18

19

21

20

23

24

35

22

Ministries commenced to formulate plan from about
July 1938 and at length it was referred to an Imperial
Conference on the 30th of November. The national
policy was decided then. The KONOE statement of the
22d December 1938 was the summary thereof. At that
time, not a few people, civilians, government and
military circles and like thought that reparations
for the sacrifices suffered during the Chino-Japanese
Incident should be in the form of acquisition of
territory or control of management. It is a remarkable fact that this grand national policy which
seemed rather weak-kneed was decided upon under such
circumstances utterly abandoning the idea of reparations.

authorities of the War, Navy, Foreign and Finance

Kuomintang Party of the Republic of China decided to strike Mr. Wang's name of the party register and to expel him from all public offices. Special service agents were dispatched to Hanoi by the Chung-king Government and they threatened him. Mr. Tseng Chung-ming, Mr. Wang's right-hand man, was assassinated at Mr. Wang's house in Hanoi on the 21st of March. Thus it was reported that his life was endangered every minute. At a meeting of the Five Ministers' Council it was determined that I should see Mr. Wang

Page 6 (Cont.)

4

6

7

8

9

TO

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

and, if necessary, assist him to reach a safety zone if requested. I reached Hanoi on the 17th of April by the 'Hokko Maru' together with YANO, Secretary of the Foreign Office and Hr. INUKAI, Ken.

"9. I met Mr. Wang Ching-wei at his private residence in Hanoi on the 18th of April.

"Mr. Wong regretted the outbreak and the development of the Sino-Japanese Incident, and said, 'I discussed with Mr. Chiang Kai-shek in writing or in direct talk about the necessity of Sino-Japanese cooperation in which I believe, but Mr. Chiang Kai-shek told me that it was impossible to bring about peace partly because he himself was suspicious of Japan's real intentions, and partly because of various circumstances. Just when I thought that there was no way but to attempt to sway the Chungking Government by arousing public opinion from the outside giving up the project of getting Mr. Chiang Kai-shek to change his mind while in Chungking I was notified by Mr. Kao Tsung-wu and Mr. Mai (p. 7) Ssu-ping of Japan's peace policy. I strongly believed that it was not impossible to obtain public opinion, if Japan really abided by that policy.' He also said that the KONOE statement of the 22d of December had a most important effect on the development of the peace move.

Page 71 (Cont.)

"Mr. Wang further stated that, as it was dangerous and meaningless to stay at Hanoi, he wanted to further the movement with the seat of his activities in Shanghai and that he was intending to move from Hanoi to Shanghai with the consent of the French Indo-china authorities. He also hoped to develop the peace move after arriving at Shanghai by a much more advanced method than which had been planned till then.

"I'r. Wang's original plan for the development of the peace move was to instill peace enthusiasm
among the people with the members of the Kuomintang
as central figures and make the National Government
do away with the anti-Japan policy. As already mentioned he had no intention of establishing a government.

He was considering the plan to be followed.

"10. Mr. Wang chartered a French ship with
the consent of the French Indo-China authorities and
boarded it on the 25th of April, 1939, at Katoba
Island (T.N. Not clear), guarded by staffs of the
Peace Preservation Bureau of French Indo-China. The
ship left on the 26th. We, the Japanese members
boarded the 'Hokko Maru' at Haiphong. On the 28th we
received from Mr. Wang Ching-wei a communication to the
effect that he manted to transfer to the 'Hokko Maru',

Page 7 (Cont.)

and in the same evening he changed ships presumably in the offing northeast of Bias Bay. Then, (p. 8) after touching at Keelung to replenish coal and provisions, sailed straight for Shanghai and reached the Hongkow wharf in Shanghai on the 8th of May. I often talked with Mr. Wang on board the 'Hokko Maru'. The important things which Mr. Wang told me and Mr. INUKAI during our conversations are as follows:

was to organize a peace body centered around the Kuomintang members, to point out the reason why Chungking's anti-Japanese theory was erroneous, to explain that peace was the only way to save China and East Asia; to enlarge the peace camp by degrees; and ultimately to make Chungking change its policy. But upon careful consideration, it is extremely difficult to make the Chungking Government change its policy by discussion alone.

"Now, the advocation of peace came from his love for China, but anti-Japanism is also an expression of patriotism. However, advocacy for peace cannot easily be distinguished from treason. It is therefore difficult to make the general populace listen to the advocacy of peace quite unlike the advocacy of resistance to Japan which fall on ready ears. Advocacy for

· fo

12

11

7

8

9

14

. 15

. 17

18

19

21 22

Page 7' (Cont.)

. 15

. 17

and in the same evening he changed ships presumably in the offing northeast of Bias Bay. Then, (p. 8) after touching at Keelung to replenish coal and provisions, sailed straight for Shanghai and reached the Hongkow wharf in Shanghai on the 8th of May. I often talked with Mr. Wang on board the 'Hokko Maru'. The important things which Mr. Wang told me and Mr. INUKAI during our conversations are as follows:

"(1) Until then the plan of the peace move was to organize a peace body centered around the Kuomintang members, to point out the reason why Chungking's anti-Japanese theory was erroneous, to explain that peace was the only way to save China and East Asia; to enlarge the peace camp by degrees; and ultimately to make Chungking change its policy. But upon careful consideration, it is extremely difficult to make the Chungking Government change its policy by discussion alone.

"Now, the advocation of peace came from his love for China, but anti-Japanism is also an expression of patriotism. However, advocacy for peace cannot easily be distinguished from treason. It is therefore difficult to make the general populace listen to the advocacy of peace quite unlike the advocacy of resistance to Japan which fall on ready ears. Advocacy for

Page 81 (Cent.)

3

5

7

8

Page 5

12

13

15

17

18

20

21

23

25

ndequacy of Japan's policy are endorsed by facts.

That is, if the KONCE statement is actually put into practice, the Chungking Government's advocacy for resistance to Japan will lose its basis. Then, I believe, even the Chungking Government will have no choice but to turn to peace swayed by the general current of public opinion. For that purpose, the shortest way is to establish a peaceful government (p. 9) and cooperate with the Japanese Government in implementing the KONCE statement.

give up the previous plan and establish a peace Government, to arouse public opinion that resistance was meaningless not only through the enlightening movement by speech to Chungking but also through factual proof of the good results of Sino-Japanese cooperation. By doing this the Chungking Government wight turn to peace.

"Therefore, is the Government of your country has no objection to this new plan, I wish to change the previous plan and proceed with the establishment of a peace government.

"(2) The establishment of the government does not aim at the destruction of the Chungking

Page 9 (Cont.)

Government. If only peace can be invited, I don't care who holds the political power. The purpose of the peace move is to influence the Chungking Government to turn to peace, and to make it cease the resistance. For that reason, it is not desirable to provoke a civil war such as to fight against Chungking and cause bloodshed though it will be necessary to possess military forces after the establishment of the government. In the Chungking Government join my movement in the future, the purpose of the movement will have been attained and I shall retire to private life without any hesitation. This fact I wish to state definitely and make myself clear.

"In short, what Mr. Wang intended was to show the Chungking Government and the general public that the advocacy of peace was not groundless (p. 10) by establishing the peace government and creating a living example of cooperation with Japan, and thereby conduct the Chungking Government to peace, and bring about a general peaceful cooperation with Japan in conjunction with the Chungking Government. Therefore, with the establishment of Mr. Wang's new regime, China would be, for a time, divided into two camps -- the peace faction and the resistance group -- but ultimately she would be reunited into one. Without this reunion

Page 10

it is a certainty that general peace cannot be achieved. That was the guiding principle of Mr. Wang's

3

7

10

11

12 13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20 21

22

24

25

23

movement.

"This can be seen through the words in his circular peace telegram of 16th January 1940: 'If Mr. Chiang in consideration of the fate of the country and the welfare of the nation, courageously decides upon a farsighted policy, makes peace with Japan, and, in accordance with the principles of the KONOE statement seeks its concrete facts, Chao-ming and his comrades will certainly join forces with him and rapidly bring about peace to the whole country.

"11. Reaching Shanghai on 8th May 1939, Mr. Wang Ching-wei met his comrades, Chu Min-yi, Chow Fu-hia, Fu Shi-shuo, Mei Ssu-ping, Kao Tsung-wu, etc. As a result of the meeting he decided to visit Japan. He informed me of that determination and requested me to communicate with the Japanese Government at my discretion. He was drawing up the plan of the peace movement and studying the points which he would demand from the Japanese Government. As soon as these plans were completed, (p. 11) he intended to depart.

"The principal points drawn up by Mr. Wang with respect to his visit to Japan were in substance as follows:

Page 11 (Cont.)

"(1) Opinions will be frankly exchanged
with Prince KONOE, Premier HIRANUMA and some other
ministers concerned, Mr. Wang would personally attend
to these matters.

"(2) If, as a result of the meetings, the peace movement is considered hopeful the following articles will be implemented by Chou Fu-hai.

"A. In order to further the movement
'Demands Concerning the Execution of Principles of
the Respect of Sovereign Rights' will be presented
to the Japanese Government due to the necessity of
making the Chinese people understand that Japan has
no intention of aggression, control or of interfer.ing
with the internal affairs of China.

"B. In order to show that the new government was not formed under the pressure of Japan, it
is necessary not to disrupt the previous judicial
system and the Japanese Government will be required
the consent to the recognition of the following
matters as being indispensable terms: The new government shall be called the National Government. The
form of its establishment shall be the 'return to the
capital'. The San Min Chu-i, Three Peoples' Principles
will be made the guiding conception, the 'Sun-in-theblue-sky-flag' will be used as the national flag.

Page 11 (Cont.)

Page 12 5

party.

8

11 12

13 14

15

16 17

18 19

20

21

22 23

24

"12. Mr. Wang and his party left Shanghai 2 for Tokyo by air at the beginning of June, 1939. party consisted of Chou Fu-hai, Mei Ssu-ping, Kao Tsung-wu, Chou Lung-yang and Tung Tao-ning. On the (p. 12) Japanese side, YANO and SHIMIZU, Secretaries of the Foreign Office and Ken INUKAI and I joined the

"Soon after our arrival at Tokyo, Mr. Wang had an interview with Premier HIRANUMA. At the interview, the Premier expressed his opinion concerning the Sino-Japanese cooperation to be based upon moral conception and admired Mr. Wang's moral courage in attempting to solve the unfortunate incident between Japan and China. Answering this, Mr. Wang said that further struggle between Japan and China would be meaningless and that he is determined to concentrate all his energies on the materialization of peace. He then asked the Premier whether Japan had a policy for promoting the peace movement with the Chungking Government for the positive solution of the Incident; whether Japan had the policy of directing its activities towards sympathizers in civil circles and excluding the Kuomintang party members; whether Japan had the intention of paving the way to the solution of the incident by welcoming all persons who give thought to the future

Page 12 (Cont.)

2

5

6

8

10

9

11

Page 133

15

17

19

13

21

22

23

25

of both countries regardless of whether they both are among Government circles or among civilian circles, members of the Kuomintang or and other party. And he stated that he would establish a Peace Government with himself as its central figure if Japan considered this third method appropriate, and that he would serve sincerely for the attainment of the purpose.

"In reply to Mr. Wang, Premier HIRANUMA said that the present cabinet inherited the ideas of the KOMOE statement and is adhering to it firmly. He also expressed his approval of the opinion of Mr. Wang and (p. 13) the peace policy, and stated that Japan was ready to lend absolute assistance and support in response to his decisive will. To this interview I was a witness and heard everything from the beginning to the end.

"As for the interview with the other ministers concerned, I have no recollection of anything
requiring special mention, except to the statement of
the War Minister, ITAGAKI. Acting in the capacity of
proxy for Premier HIRANUMA, the War Minister, ITAGAKI,
in the morning of June 15 stated just what was expected
by Japan and exchanged opinions.

"I was a witness to this interview and was

Page 13 (Cont.)

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

13

15

16

17

Page 14

21

22

25

able to understand well the contents of the conversetions. I observed then that the War Minister was especially careful in the choice of his words so as to avoid giving any impression to Mr. Wang of interference in the internal policy of China. Recalling from my memory, the gist of the conversation was as follows:

"(1) The War Minister asked Ir. Weng, "What is your opinion concerning the liquidation of past evils arising out of the doctrine of 'One Nation, One Party' at this opportunity?" Mr. "ang said that he held the same opinion and that he had the desire to live up to the Minister's expectation by directing matters in such a way as to take in members of all parties and factions, Kuomintang or otherwise, inasmuch as it is feared that any dislocation of the juridical system would entail an obstacle to the future development of the movement.

belonging to the two existing governments, the Provisional and the Restoration, are faithful to the same idea. They have striven for the peaceful cooperation between Japan and China enduring persecution's joy and Japan cannot dissolve them without some consideration. Therefore I want your opinion on the Page 14 (Conti)

Provisional Government and Economic Council with the Reformation Government or something of the sort in order to manage Sino-Japanese relations locally. To this Hr. Wang answered, 'I have no objection to the establishment of a Council in North China which is far from Nanking and in entrusting the local administration thereto to a certain extent. There is an example in Mr. Huang Fu who was once the head of the Political Council there. However, I am unable to consent to the establishment of anything of this nature in Central China. I am ready to consider, of course, the warn treatment of persons thus affected in this region.

"(3) The Finister said, 'Many people interpret nationalism or the doctrine of the people's welfare in San-Min-Chu-I as if it accepts pro-communism and anti-Japanism. Have you not any opinion as to the adjustment of this at this opportunity and promote Sino-Japanese cooperation and anti-communism?' Mr. Wang answered that he was altogether of the same opinion.

"(4) The minister stated, 'Nost of the Japanese people think that the 'Sun in the Blue Sky' flag denotes the sign of anti-Japanism. If the (p. 15)

Page 15 Peace Government and its army had the same flag with that of the anti-Japanese Government, unexpected results may occur in actual military actions of the Japanese army. May I ask you for some consideration on this point?' Mr. Wang was absolutely opposed to this but said that he would consider a method by which a distinction may be made between the Nanking and Chungking corps.

"(5) The Minister sought Mr. Wang's opinion as to the problem of the recognition of Manchukuo.

To this Mr. Wang answered in the following vein, -'according to the address given by Dr. Sun Yat-sen in Kobe in the thirteenth year of Taisho, recognition of Manchurian independence is not against doctrine.

We should recognize Manchukuo as an independent nation in view of her present stage of vivid development. Inasmuch as I am set on the aim of realizing peace with Japan, I am firmly convinced there is no alternative but to recognize Manchukuo.'

"In the above interview, the Minister considered that in so far as the internal affairs were concerned, it would be wise to accept Mr. Wang's opinion, but since the problem of the national flag and that of the dissolution of the Renovation Government are concerned with the prosecution of the war,

Page 15 (Cont.)

3

Á

5

7

Page 16

10

11

13 14

15

16

17 18

19 20

21

22

24

25

he perceived that it would be necessary to make the Japanese army in China cognizant of the opinion of Mr. Wang and therefore summoned his staff officers to Tokyo. The staff officers of each army were opposed to 'the Blue Sky and the White Sun Flag,' because the Japanese officers and soldiers have a strong belief that the 'Blue Sky and the White Sun Flag' is the symbol of anti-Japanism and that it makes (p. 16) the distinction between the peace army and the anti-Japan army difficult. They were also opposed to the dissolution of the lenovation Government because it would give rise to difficult problems in the maintenance of public peace.

"But as a result of discussions, the staff officers of each army understood the will of the minister who thought that it had better respect fully the will of Mr. Wang concerning such internal problems in China, and they came to agree with the opinion of the minister. Thus they arrived at the conclusion that since the central authorities had resolved to respect Mr. Wang's opinions they must make their subordinates subservient to this decision.

"ITAGAKI, the War Minister, informed the Five Ministers' Conference of these happenings and it was decided to respect without reservations the will Page 16 (Cont.)

of Mr. Wang.

"After the interview with the Premier and the ministers concerned, Mr. Wang had an interview with Prince KONOE. Without an interpreter Prince KONOE talked with Mr. Wang for hours, and so I could not understand its contents in detail. But it seemed to me that at this interview Mr. Wang was inspired with much courage and hope as to the future of the peace movement.

"13. Following this, the aforementioned request concerning the realization of the principle of respecting sovereignty' was submitted by Chou Fu-hai and the contention on the Chinese side was generally admitted by the Japanese Government. The more important items, still in my memory, are as follows:

"(a) Japan will not interfere with China's domestic administration. Therefore all negotiations will be carried out through the regular diplomatic channels. Advisers shall be provided at China's request only in the Central Government and the highest military body. An adviser will answer inquiries and submit his opinion occasionally to the Chief concerned but a decision will be made exclusively by the Chief concerned.

Page 17 (Cont.)

2

.

6

8

7

10

9

11

12 13

14

15 16

17

18

19.

Page 3.8

22

23

24

25

"(b) As to joint enterprises, improper appraisal of property on the Chinese side shall be corrected and the proportion of Japanese investment shall be limited.

"(c) Surplus customs duties in the custody of the Tax Control Bureau and the Yokohama Specie Bank shall be transferred to the Central Government after its organization.

"(d) The Salt Administration shall regain its pre-war status immediately after the organization of the Central Government.

"(e) Factories, mines and houses under the Japanese Army's control shall promptly be returned to China except those which are necessary from the military viewpoint.

"14. As previously mentioned, the original plan of Wang Ching-wei was to develop a peace movement "ith Kuomintang members as its leaders, with no intention whatsoever to establish a government. But he changed his mind during his stay in Hanoi and that plan was replaced by a peace movement (p. 18) plan based on establishing a government. The establishment of Mr. Wang's regime entirely depended on his own free will, and the Japanese Government neither requested Mr. Wang to establish a government nor

cumstances.

Page 18 persuaded him to do so. This is clear in the talk

between Premier HIRANUMA and Mr. Wang Ching-wei

during his visit to Tokyo in the first ten days of

June, 1937. His New Year's statement issued on

January 1, 1940 bore eloquent witness to these cir-

"From the following paragraph in the New Year's statement, it is evident that the Nanking Government was established really in accordance with Mr. Wang's free will: 'As to my positive embarkation on the peace movement for saving our country, some of my friends approved it and others opposed it, advising me in various ways. I, myself, was extremely worried about the means and ways. In order to achieve the great mission which is in common with Japan and China for the stabilization and security in East Asia and establishment of a new order in East Asia, was I to stay out of the government and to convert the present thoroughly anti-Japanese government, advocating peace and salvation of our country, or to achieve this by establishing a new political power? Or, even in case of establishing a new political regime, was I first to establish it as a local political power and then enlarge it gradually to make it Central Government or was I to establish the central government from the

3

18

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

20

21

2.2

23

Page 18 (Cont.)

Page 19

10

11

12

13 14

15

16 17

21 22

beginning? Thus there were many ays conceivable. But comparing all these ways, I reached the conclusion that the most direct and bold way was the most effective and most conducive to future development, and (p. 19) finally I decided to head straight for establishing a central political power.

"15. The KONCE statement of December 22, 1938 is the only datum available to Mr. Wang Ching-wei with regard to Japan's desire towards China. But it was too abstract, that various opinions, both firm and moderate, would arise therefrom if one were to put it in practice.

"If, after Mr. Wang Ching-wei succeeded in organizing a government, great difference should be found between draft treaty submitted by Japan and Mr. Wang's understanding of the KONOE statement, Mr. Wang would face a difficult situation. The result thereof would be the collapse of his government or his being left in the hands o' a puppet political power. In either case it was cortain that the peace movement would fail.

"It may be said, therefore, that in order to 23 show the Japanese Government's sincerity toward Mr. 24 Wang and also to make the peace movement a success, 25 the Japanese Government should deliberate with Mr. Wang Page 19 (Cont.)

as to the embodiment of the KONOE statement prior to Mr. Wang's organizing a government.

"However, in case the contentions of both sides do not agree, Mr. Wang has the liberty to suspend establishing his government.

"I presented the above view to the Government and it was approved. In October, 1939, a tentative plan was shown me by the China Affairs Board.

"I, together with Rear Admiral SUGA, Foreign
Office Secretary YANO and INUKAI Ken on our side and
Chou Fu-hai, Mei Ssu-ping, Lin Paisheng, (p.20) and Chou
Lung-Hsinang on Wang's side, conducted exhaustive investigations and discussions. Due amendments were
made to the said draft plan and a conclusion was
reached on December 30. To this amended plan both the
Japanese Government and Wang Ching-wei expressed mutual
agreement.

"16. It seems that peace negotiations with Chungking, even after the issuance of KONOE's statement, in January 1938 to the effect that Japan will have no further dealings with the national government, were in many instances conducted by non-official Japanese with the understanding of high government authorities, but I am not well acquainted with this matter. From about January 1940 under the supervision of ITAGAKI, Chief of

3

6

7

.

Page 20

12

13

15

17

18

19

20

22

24

20

21

23

Pago 21

Staff of the Expeditionary Army in China, peace Page 20 (Cont.) negotiations with Chungking were actively held. This endeavor was carried on with a view to contributing toward a merger of the Chungking regime 1 d and Wang's prospective government and also to bringe n ing about an overall peace. The said peace negotia-6 & 7 tions were promoted through Sung Tzu-liang. S 8 "About the and of March it was observed pr 9 that the possibility of their succeeding was very a 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17

great so that Chief of Staff, ITAGAKI, after consultation with Wang, decided to postpone the formal establishment of the latter's government for several days. But, finally without achieving the effected results, Wang's government was formally set up on March 30. Even after this, maneuvers for peace through Sung Tzu-liang were enthusiastically continued by Chief of Staff, ITAGAKI. The Sino-Japanese treaty negotiations which were started from June 1940 were conducted on a 'dilly-dallying' policy after consultation with Wang. This was also for the purpose of facilitating the success of the said peace maneuvers. Unfortunately, however, these maneuvers also proved unsuccessful, and in October of the same year, it was decided to discontinue the endeavor for peace.

"After the failure of the maneuvers through

T

r

Pago 21 (Cont.)

6

7

8 . 9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22 23

24

Sung Tzu-linng, Foreign Minister MATSUOKA, after consultation with Wang, schemed for peace negotiations through the agency of Chien Yung-ming and until the results thereof were definitely known, the signature of the treaty was withhold. But at last, on November 30 of the same year, there took place the ceremony for the signing of the treaty and Japan granted recognition to Wang's government. As stated above, all through the period covering before and after the setting up of Wang's government, every successive Cabinet was deeply concerned about the establishment of peace with Chungking.

"17. The Japanese government Army and Navy had no organs or mechanism established for the guidance and supervision of the Wang government. Although the Nanking government had advisors in its Military and Economic Council, these advisors were all appointed and engaged by Wang Ching-wei. They were not forced upon or recommended by the Japanese side. These advisors all acted in accordance with the Chinese regulations and gave advice whonever called upon. They offered their opinions occasionally, but never exercised guidance or interference, nor did they make investigations. These advisors, acting as a medium between Wang's (p. 22) government and the Japanese

Page 22

Page 221 (Cont.)

side, made great efforts for the prosecution of the policies of Wang's government.

"The advisors, in order to avoid as much as possible temptations to interfere, assumed such an attitude that they were rather too passive in their conduct. But this was in accordance with the intention of the Japanese government and the military so as to prevent Wang's government from becoming a puppet. Accordingly, in the Wang government organization and personnel af airs were all left to the free will of the Chinese and no restrictions were exercised with respect to these matters. It was the same with the decision on policies and not a few of them were only brought to the knowledge of the Japanese after the decisions thereon were made some of the policies hich Wang's government wanted to put into effect proved infeasible because of military restrictions, but this was unavoidable. It cannot however be denied that the Japanese army assumed an attitude to help the execution of the policies of Wang's government, to the greatest extent permissible under such circumstances. As for the budgets also, the Chinese indeendently decided on them and, according to what we have heard, the economic advisors were lending their good offices by giving their views regarding financial

204.

18

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

21 22

20

23

Page 221 (Cont.)

resources.

5

8

Page 23

11

12 13

14

15 16

17

18 19

21

20

22 23

24

"On the basis of the demand regarding the political independence which had been agreed upon between the Wang government and the Japanese government in June 1937, the latter stipulated some restrictions to the economic advance of the Japanese into China. In particular, the reduction and restrictions made in the percentage of the shares to be held by the Japanese in Sino-Japanese joint concerns which were national policy companies, created considerable repercussions among the Japanese economic circles. And it was also promised that many of the already established advantages and vested interests would be returned to the Chinese. This caused not a few people among the military, governmental and non-governmental circles to entertain antipathy and scepticism towards Wang's government, and some even went as far as to call Wang's government an anti-Japanese government under the veil of peace. Thus an anti-Wang government atmosphere was created within Japan. This was, after all, a reaction to Wang's government having planned for its political independence and the Japanese government having rendered assistance thereto. This also can serve as a testimony of the fact that Wang's government was not a puppet government.

"On this 15th day of March, 1947, at Tokyo. Page 231 (Cont.) "Deponent /s/ KAGESA Yoshiaki (Seal)" There are one or two questions that Mr. YAMADA 4 would like to put to the witness. THE COMMISSIONER: Please do so, Mr. YAMADA. MR. YAMADA: I should like to ask a question on just one point. 8 THE COMMISSIONER: On whose behalf? MR. YAMADA: On behalf of the accused ITAGAKI. 10 DIRECT EXAMINATION (Continued) 11 BY MR. YAMADA: 12 Mr. Witness, in your affidavit you say that 13 Mr. Wang Ching-wei met General ITAGAKI on June 16 --14 June 15 -- that is, in June of 1939, 14th year of 15 Showa, when Wang Ching-wei and his group came to Tokyo. 16 Has General ITAGAKI ever met or spoken, had conversa-17 tion with Mr. Wang any other time besides this 18 particular conversation that you mention? The first meeting that General ITAGAKI had 20 rith Wang Ching-wei was the day following Wang Ching-21 wei's interview with Prime Minister HIRANUMA. This 22 was on the 11th; that is, the first meeting with 23 ITAGAKI was on the 11th of June. Speaking of the 24 contents of that conversation I might say that General

25 TTAGAKI on that occasion told Mr. Wang Ching-wei that

2

.

5

.

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

23 24

25

the war aims of the Japanese army were not to regard the Chinese people as an enemy but to fight against the communists and other troops who were working in collusion with them.

THE COMMISSIONER: Will you please stop the witness. I understood the question had relation to the time of this interview. I did not understand that it required also the nature of the interview. Is that so, counsel?

MR. YAMADA: That is so.

THE COMMISSIONER: In that case the witness need not answer further, having given the date that was sought for.

Q Was it not on that day, on the 11th, that Mr. Wang Ching-wei submitted a document to the War Ministry?

A Yes, "document regarding the expedient methods in order to save the situation" was the title of the document.

THE COMMISSIONER: Will you please explain
to the witness that he need answer no more than just
that which is put to him. If counsel desire more they
will ask him further questions.

MR. YAMADA: That is all, sir.

THE COMMISSIONER: Do other counsel for the

defense wish to examine? MR. ROBERTS: There are no further questions 2 on the part of the defense. The prosecution may cross-3 examine. (End of reading.) 5 MR. ROBERTS: I understand that the cross-6 examination will be read by Judge Hsiang. THE PRESIDENT: Judge Hsiang. 8 JUDGE HSIANG: If it please the Tribunal, I 9 will read the cross-examination proceedings in the 10 absence of Judge Nyi. 11 THE PRESIDENT: Yes, do so. 12 JUDGE HSIANG (Reading continued): 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23

24

JUDGE NYI: If your Honor please, may I request that the witness exchange seats with the attendant so that he can be nearer.

THE COMMISSIONER: I prefer not to disturb it. That has been arranged by the doctor and I think it had better be left as it is.

CROSS-EXAMINATION

BY JUDGE NYI:

Q Witness, you have mentioned in your affidavit the peace negotiations carried out through the German ambassador. Do you remember what were the terms of peace?

A I do not remember. It is not in my recollection.

Q Do you know as a fact that after the fall of Nanking Japan had revised the terms of peace and such terms of peace as offered later were more difficult to be accepted?

A The negotiations through the good offices of the German ambassador, Mr. Trautmann, were conducted just prior to the fall of Nanking and my recollection is that though the terms were made, the terms were

relaxe	d. It was not heavy later terms; the later
terms	were relaxed, if anything, but not heavier or
more s	strict.
Q	Do you also recollect that the terms of
peace	offered after the fall of Nanking were required
	pan to be accepted before the end of the year?
A	- Coat
Q	You have stated in your affidavit that you
-	d Wang Ching-wei continuously in his movement
	tablishing the Central Government?
1,191	THE COMMISSIONER: Mr. Roberts.
	MR. ROBERTS: May I ask that the prosecution
when	he refers to the affidavit please give us the
	so that we can follow him easily.
Po 80	JUDGE NYI: That is page 1, middle of page 1
c	a tal with the other new regimes
	e the establishment of the Central Government?
peror	MR. ROBERTS: I want to object to the
	tion because I believe it is outside the scope
of th	ne examination.
	JUDGE NYI: If your Honor please
	THE COMMISSIONER: You need not reply. The
ques	tion is well within the field of the affidavit

and is to be answered.

Please answer the question.

Yes, I know. A Did you participate in the formation of 1 the so-called Ta-Tao Shanghai Municipal Government? 3 A No. MR. ROBERTS: Will you please inform the 4 witness that when I stand up not to answer the question 5 6 until the ruling is made by the Court? 7 JUDGE NYI: Your Honor, may I have the witness be shown exhibit No. 463-A, on page 5. This 8 is a document purporting to establish Provisional 10 Covernment at Peking and Restoration Government at Nanking, and in that document it was clearly stated 12 that Colonel KACESA of the headquarters of the General 13 Staff had been consulted in the formation of this 14 Ta-Tao Shanghai Municipal Government. 15 MR. ROBERTS: I would like to receive a copy 16 of the document first. 17 THE COMMISSIONER: Is it an exhibit? 18 MR. ROBERTS: Yes, it is an exhibit. 19 THE COMMISSIONER: Then you should have a 20 21 copy. MR. ROBERTS: I was not informed that they 22 were going to refer to it. 23 THE COMMISSIONER: What is the exhibit number?

24

25

JUDGE NYI: 463-A, page 5, section 1. I may

read the first sentence?

THE COMMISSIONER: May I interpose? You have it in Japanese; It may be shown the witness.

JUDGE NYI: May I proceed to read?
THE COMMISSIONER: Yes.

BY JUDGE NYI (Continued):

q "With regard to the above government, it is reported that Colonel KUSUMOTO of the office of Embassy Military Attache and Colonel KAGESA of the Headquarters of General Staff had consulted with each other and helped towards its formation." Why did you say that you had no part in it?

A I did not participate.

MR. ROBERTS: I object to the question because it is not shown in this exhibit that the witness said anything. It is stated, "it is reported" and does not purport to be a statement from this witness.

objection. That is a comment that you could make at a later stage of the trial. That is not a proper objection. The question was properly put and has been answered.

Q As this is an official document, will you

3

)

7

0

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

20

21

22

23

24

give some explanation why you say that you did not participate in this government?

A May I first have this official document explained -- why this is an official document?

THE COMMISSIONER: It will shorten it, perhaps, Mr. Nyi, if you tell the witness what the origin of the document is and explain to him why you say it is an official document.

JUDGE NYI: The certificate shows that it is part of the Part V, China Incident, and it is part of the records of the General Affairs Section, General Affairs Bureau of the Foreign Ministry of Japan.

Q Does that refresh your mind?

A As an officer in the General Staff Headquarters I received reports -- I received a report with regard to the manner in which the Ta Tao Municipal Government was set up, administrative government was set up, but I did not participate in the establishment of that government.

Q But you were consulted, were you not and helped toward its formation?

A I received a report with regard to the formation of this government and I saw this government after its formation on the spot but never at any

2

4

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19 20

21

22

23

time participated positively in the establishment of this government.

THE MONITOR: Slight correction there: I received a report about this and learned that the government, the administrative government, was set up on the spot in the field; however, I have never taken direct part in the forming of it.

Q It is only the direct part that you deny to take, is that right?

A Yes.

Q Do you know as a fact that the day after the fall of Nanking, that is on the 14th of December, 1937, the Provisional Government was already established in Japanese-occupied Peking?

A Yes.

Q Then the formation of the Provisional Government in Peiping had been planned long before the fall of Nanking, was it not?

A I think it is possible to interpret it that way. You may interpret it that way.

Q Do you know who was the head of this Provisional Government?

A Wang Keh-min.

Q Do you know that Wang Keh-min was at first in Hong Kong and he was instructed by KITA and IMAI

8

6

3

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

23

25

.

to proceed north and set up this Previsional Government?

A Unfortunately I do not know of the manner in which Wang proceeded north or the circumstances surrounding his going to the north.

Q In the middle of page 2 of your affidavit, in the middle of the page you say that Japan intended to accomplish the peace between the two countries

"in some other way." What was the "some other way"?

A With regard to that the Japanese Government-

A With regard to that the Japanese Government—
the government on its part had no proposal and among
those concerned each had their own, each had its
own proposal. As far as the government was concerned
it had not received — arrived at any definite plan
on what method to take or what procedure to take.

9 But you seem to be very definite about it. In this paragraph which I have referred to you say that the Japanese would have nothing to do with the National Government and they want to accomplish the same purpose "in some other way."

What was this "some other way" you had in mind when you wrote this affidavit?

THE COMMISSIONER: Yes, Mr. Roberts.

MR. ROBERTS: So that there shall be no misunderstanding I would like to request that the two

preceding sentences be read to the witness so that the thought may be connected.

THE COMMISSIONER: You had better do that, Mr. Nyi.

Q Referring to your affidavit you say that-THE COMMISSIONER: Please read the two
preceding sentences.

MR. ROBERTS: Or the beginning of the paragraph. It would be better to read the whole paragraph.

JUDGE NYI: Yes.

You say, beginning of the paragraph: "Upon receipt of the National Government's reply of the 14th of January the Japanese Government drew the conclusion that the Chinese Government had no sincerity in the peace negotiations between China and Japan and announced on January 16 that henceforth it would have nothing to do with the National Government. It was not because the Japanese Government abandoned all hopes for an overall peace between China and Japan. Japan was very eager for it and gave up the peace effort with the National Government which was judged to be unfaithful to the idea of general peace. She intended to accomplish the same purpose in some other way."

1 2

(End of reading.)

THE PRESIDENT: We will recess for fifteen minutes.

(Whereupon, at 1045, a recess was taken until 1100, after which the proceedings were resumed as follows, the Honorable Mr. Justice McDougall, Member from the Dominion of Canada, not sitting:)

MARSHAL OF THE COURT: The International Military Tribunal for the Far East is now resumed.

THE PRESIDENT: The accused TOGO, with the permission of the Tribunal, is conferring with his counsel and will continue to do so until the end of this session at noon.

The decision on the recess question this morning was a majority decision.

Judge Hsiang.

JUDGE HSIANG (reading continued):

3

5

7

8

10

11

13

14

15

17

18

19

21 22

23

24

What was this "some other way" which seemed to be very definitely in your mind?

A The statement of the 16th of January is
the KONOE statement. Prince KONOE may have had
some other way but as far as I was concerned the
"some other way" was an extremely vague matter and
since I had nothing concrete in mind I just simply
used this expression, "some other way."

THE COMMISSIONER: Doctor, we are going on until twelve. Ordinarily we take a short adjournment at this time but as we started late I would not propose to take it unless you think the witness' condition makes it desirable that we should. What do you say about it?

THE INTERPRETER: The witness does not require recess at this time.

THE COMMISSIONER: Very well, we will continue.

BY JUDGE NYI (Continued):

Q Was it already intended at that time to set up new regimes in various regions occupied by Japanese troops and the peace would be sought through these new regimes instead of the Nationalist Government?

A Is the question whether the peace was to be sought through established regimes?

Q New and established regimes.

A Among "some other way" as I remember there was one plan which sought to make -- sought for peace through already established regimes.

Q Was it the policy of Japanese Government at that time to have separate regimes rather than to have a centralized government?

A Japan's foremost desire vis-a-vis China was the establishment of a government which was strong and unified and friendly to Japan, and one of Japan's foremost wishes was that the National Government at that time change its attitude to one of friendship toward Japan.

Q Was it the policy of Japan that although a central government should be set up under its auspices, that separate regimes, the local regimes, would still be maintained?

A Japanese policy had not advanced to such a concrete stage. The Japanese ideas were in some measure expressed during the Wang Ching-wei-ITAGAKI conversation.

Q Now, as to the "some other way" which we have just asked, you have recalled one instance. Do you recall any other instance or any other way?

A Yes, the plan that I had as Chief of the

posal.

Q In the last paragraph of page 2 you mentioned the visit of Mr. Kao Tsung-wu and another gentleman from China in the spring of 1938. How did they come over since the two countries were virtually at war with each other?

A A friend of mine in Shanghai, a refined and well-known cultured gentleman, was very much taken over by the enthusiasm, fire and zeal of Kao Tsung-wu and arranged with the Japanese army to have him transported to Japan and an airplane was provided for the purpose.

- Q So it wouldn't be possible for him to come over without the help of the Japanese army, would it?
 - A Yes, that is so.
- Q Was their visit previously informed to the Japanese authorities?
 - A He came to see me.
 - Q That is not an answer to my question.

(Whereupon, the question was repeated by the interpreter.)

A The advance notice came only to me as an individual.

Q So the Japanese Government was not informed

previously; only you were informed previously, was it?

A The government had nothing to do with it.

It was only to me that this advance notice came.

Q What was your position at that time?

A Chief of the Military Affairs Section, War Ministry.

Q Could you direct an airplane to be ready -to be prepared for his transportation and the taking
of a foreigner whose country was virtually at war
with your country without the government having any
knowledge of it?

A I did not provide the airplane. The airplane was provided by the Japanese army which had then advanced into China or was stationed in China.

Q But the army was acting not in its private capacity in sending him over, did they?

THE COMMISSIONER: The result will be obtained if you ask whether the visit was to the witness in his official capacity. That is really what you want to know, isn't it?

JUDGE NYI: Yes.

THE COMMISSIONER: Very well, ask him in that way.

THE INTERPRETER: Your Honor, does the "official capacity" refer to the witness or to the

.

Chinese? 1 THE COMMISSIONER: Referring to the witness, 2 in the witness' official capacity. 3 THE WITNESS: To me as an individual, net 4 5 in my official capacity. What was the name of the Japanese, cultured 6 7 Japanese, whom you just mentioned? , 8 Mr. MATSUMOTO, Shigeharu, of the Domei 9 News Agency. 10 And it was this gentleman who arranged for 11 this transportation? 12. Yes, he negotiated with the army and gave 13 his good offices in having a plane provided. 14 Were you notified before he actually started Q 15 on his voyage? 16 I had a telegram from Mr. MATSUMOTO. A 17 And did you know this gentleman, Mr. Kao Q 18 Tsung-wu before? 19 Yes, I had met him once before in 1932. 20 Was the object of their visit made known to 21 you before they came -- before Mr. Kao came? 22 That was unknown; it was unknown to me. A 23 But you did receive an advance telegram 24 telling you that he was coming? 25 Yes.

Q What were their respective positions in the Chinese government at that time -- Mr. Kao and the other gentleman?

MR. ROBERTS: I believe the information is contained in the affidavit and would be repetitive.

THE COMMISSIONER: The witness must answer the question.

A Mr. Kao Tsung-wu was former Director of the Bureau of Asiatic Affairs of the Foreign Ministry of the National Government of China.

Q Was he holding any position at that time?

A That I do not know well. I am not familiar.

Q You have just stated that you met him as an individual but on page 2, seventh line from the bottom you say, "I met them in the capacity of Chief of the Chinese Section of the Military Affairs Bureau."

A Mr. Kao Tsung-wu and his colleague came to see me as an individual and I met him as an individual; but after talking with him and the subject of general peace came up, then my position changed from that of an individual to that of an official and in that capacity reported my information gathered from the Chinese to my superior officers.

Q How did your capacity change? Do you suggest that you were authorized by some government individual,

3

2

5

7 8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15 16

17

18 19

20

21

22

24

high government officer, that you should conduct negotiations with him?

A Having had no authorization or order when I met him, I met him as an individual and he was introduced to me as an individual. After the talk I became cognizant of the fact that this related to the question of general peace between Japan and China, and having learned from these emissaries that they were in positions of influence and that their plan was an acceptable and effective one to use as a reference, I reported the contents of my conversation with them in my official capacity, recommending that something be done in carrying these talks through.

To whom did you make the recommendation?

A To the Chief of the Second Division of the Army General Staff Headquarters, Lieutenant General HOMMA and General TADA, Vice Chief of the Army General Staff.

Q And what was their reaction?

A They had no objections with respect to the matters set forth in the affidavit.

Q Did you discuss the topic of general peace with Mr. Kao?

A All questions discussed related to that of general peace.

	Q But you did not know exactly what was his
of	fficial position at home, did you?
	A No, I did not know.
	Q How could you conduct negotiations with an
1:	ndividual whom you never knew before and whose
0	fficial capacity was unknown to you?
	A They were not negotiations but there should
ъ	e no hesitation to anybody who is desirous of bring-
	ng about peace between Japan and China to engage in
	uch discussions, whether in his private capacity or
1	n his official capacity.
	Q Would it be very useful to spend your
t	ime discussing with an individual about peace
t	alks on general peace?
	A Yes, all the value in the world. I would
V	velcome hundreds, thousands of such visits. The
	nore the better. I am sorry that there weren't that
	nany.
	Q And the army at Shanghai thought it worth-
+	hile to bring him by a special plane?
	A Yes, I suppose that is what the army on the
	spot thought.
	Q How many army planes were available for such
	purposes?
1	pur posos,

When not in use there were many planes, any

number of planes. 1 You stated in the first paragraph on page 2 3 that the Japanese government seemed to have no 3 particular objections to Mr. Kao's plan; now, by the 4 term, "the Japanese government," what particular 5 individuals were you referring to? 6 Vice Chief of the Army General Staff TADA 7 reported to the Minister of War, the War Minister 8 reported to the Five Ministers' Conference, and no 9 one entertained any objections. 10 Was ITAGAKI the War Minister at that time? 11 General SUGIYAMA. 12 And who was the Foreign Minister at that time? 13 I don't remember just at this moment. 14 Was it HIROTA? 15 I can't make a positive reply to that 16 A 17 question. You say that they entertained no objection 18 0 to it. Do you mean that they favored Mr. Kao's plans 19 20 of general peace? 21 No, that there was no opposition, no 22 objection to the plan. 23 Did they stop only there and didn't go any

24 25

further?

Yes, that is so.

Q On page 3, the second paragraph, you stated that, "After that, however, the Japanese did not make any positive overtures to Mr. Wang Ching-wei." By saying, "after that," do you suggest that before then the Japanese had made frequent overtures to Mr. Wang Ching-wei?

A Before that we had not heard about Mr. Wang Ching-wei and we heard about it only then. I mean that since then no positive overtures were made.

Q But you have just stated that you have met thousands of men, talking about peace?

A You misunderstood my reply. Your question

A You misunderstood my reply. Your question was, wasn't it useless to see such an individual, and my reply to that question is that I would have welcomed hundreds, yea thousands of such persons. I would have welcomed all of them for such talks.

Q You didn't actually see hundreds or thousands of them?

- A No, not actually; only two.
- Q Only two, Mr. Kao and Mr. Tung Tao-ning?
- A Yes, only those two.
- Q You have never met anyone during the formation of the Ta-Tao Shanghai Municipal Government?

MR. ROBERTS: May I object at this time because I believe that question has been answered

fully.

1 2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

13

14

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

25

THE COMMISSIONER: I think the question ought to be answered. The objection is overruled.

A As I have said before I did not participate in the establishment of the Ta-Tao Administrative Government but I did meet--

Q No, you just stated that you have met only two persons and you talked to them about peace. I am not asking about the mayor, the supposed mayor. I know who he was. You just stated--

THE COMMISSIONER: Let the witness answer the question.

Q Now you say that you have only met these two persons and talked peace with them. My question is, during the time when the Ta-Tao government was being organized have you met any other Chinese and talked about peace with them?

A Yes.

Q Then it is not true. You say you only met two -- then you at least have met three?

A Yes, only two in Japan proper with regard to peace but once during a trip that I made to Shanghai I received a visitor whose name I do not remember, and since his discussion about peace did not amount to much I did not give it any importance and therefore

I did not state it in my affid-vit.

Q When did you go to Shanghai?

A I think about September 1937.

Q In the middle of page 3 you mention IMAI of the General Staff and you say that he happened to go to Shanghai on official business. Do you know what was his mission?

A I do not know well.

Q Was he sent there to contact the Chinese interested in the so-called peace movement for the establishment of a pro-Japanese regime, do you recall?

A I do not think that was his assignment.

Q Was he in any way connected with the work on Tang Shao-yi?

A Yes, I have heard of Tang Shao-yi peace movement but I do not know who joined or participated in that movement because this was outside of my own duties.

Q Was he, IFAI, in any way connected with this?

A I do not think he had any connection.

Q Do you know what was the result of the work on him -- Tang Shao-yi?

A Yes, I know that in the end Tang Shao-yi was assassinated and therefore his peace movement collapsed

6

8

.9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

as a result.

Q In the last paragraph on page 3 you stated that the Central military authorities made some amendments to the plan proposed by Mr. Kao and Mr. Mei Ssu-ping. Now, who are the individuals involved in this when you refer to the Central military authorities?

A The officiers concerned in the Army General Staff and the War Ministry.

- Q Who was the Chief of Staff at that time?
- A Prince KAN-IN.
- Q And who was the War Minister?
- A General ITAGAKI was War Minister.
- Q Were you in the year of 1938 Chief of the Chinese Section of the Headquarters of the General Staff?

A Yes, up to June, 1938.

THE INTERPRETER: Witness said: I wish to make a correction. I was in that position up to April.

On page 2, section 4, you say that you met them in the capacity of Chief of the Chinese Section of the Military Affairs Bureau. Should it read, "the Headquarters of General Staff"? Was there any mistake in it?

29

A It should be corrected to the General Staff Office.

Q Who was the Vice Chief of Staff at the time when you say some amendment was made to the plan proposed by Mr. Kao and Mr. Mei?

A Lieutenant General TADA.

Q Who was the Vice Minister of War at that time?

A Lieutenant General TOJO.

Q Was this plan proposed by Nr. Kao and Mr. Mei ever referred to the civil authorities of the Japanese government?

A I recall that the matter was reported by the Minister of War to the Five Ministers' Conference.

Q On page 3, the third line from the bottom, you say that Mr. Kao and Mr. Mei gave you opinions containing some desires on the part of China, the third line from the bottom of page 3. Do you mean to say that their opinions can represent opinions of China, desires of China, when you do not know their official position even? How do you explain that?

A Whatever may be the position held by the Chinese, if the proposal advanced by them is reasonable it was our belief that proper measures should be taken to meet them. That is why I stated that I would have welcomed hundreds or thousands of Chinese so that we

would be able to understand that desires and views were entertained by the people of China. 2 But you didn't know exactly whether Mr. Kao's 3 and Mr. Mei's views would represent the Chinese view, did you? A No, I cannot say so. 7 So how could you put it in the affidavit Q 8 that they represented the desires of the Chinese? 9 Because both of these gentlemen were Chinese. 10 That is why I said "Chinese". 11 You mean these are the desires of these two 12 particular Chinese -- not the Chinese in general? 13 That is what I mean, but it was clear and A 14 definite that these men had connections with Mr. Wang 15 Ching-wei. 16 Who gave you and Colonel IMAI orders to go 17 to Shanghai on November 19, 1938? 18 The order to me was issued by the War Minister 19 after consultation by the War Minister at the Five 20 Ministers' Conference. 21 And who sent IMAI, Colonel IMAI? 22 The Vice Chief of Staff of the General Staff ordered Colonel IMAI to go to Shanghai with me

at my recommendation in which I stated that inasmuch

as I was going as a representative of the War Ministry

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Staff Headquarters. And not SATO? A was below me in rank. Chinese terms which the Chinese desired. would desire? I went to convey these terms.

there should be one representative from the General

Did SATO, the Chief of the Military Affairs Bureau, know anything about your appointment?

The Director, Chief of the Military Affairs Bureau was Lieutenant General MACHIJIRI.

No, rot SATO. SATO is below me in rank or

What was the scope of your assignment?

My assignment was to transmit to the

Merely to transmit desires -- did you say that you went to transmit the terms which the Chinese

Maybe it was my poor expression; maybe it is the fault of the interpreter, I do not know. I meant to say that there was a desire on the part of the Chinese to hear the Japanese terms and therefore

And was there any discussion on these terms?

Of course, there was some discussion but my assignment was to transmit the terms, the Japanese terms, which the Chinese desired to know and also to listen to the desires of the Chinese and bring those

there should be one representative from the General 1 2 Staff Headquarters. Did SATO, the Chief of the Military Affairs Bureau, know anything about your appointment? 5 The Director, Chief of the Military Affairs Bureau was Lieutenant General MACHIJIRI. 7 And not SATO? 8 No, rot SATO. SATO is below me in rank or A 9 was below me in rank. 10 What was the scope of your assignment? 11 A My assignment was to transmit to the 12 Chinese terms which the Chinese desired. 13 Merely to transmit desires -- did you say 14 that you went to transmit the terms which the Chinese 15 would desire? 16 Maybe it was my poor expression; maybe it 17 is the fault of the interpreter, I do not know. I 18 meant to say that there was a desire on the part of 19 the Chinese to hear the Japanese terms and therefore 20 I went to convey these terms. 21 And was there any discussion on these terms? 22 Of course, there was some discussion but my 23 assignment was to transmit the terms, the Japanese

terms, which the Chinese desired to know and also to

listen to the desires of the Chinese and bring those

desires back to Japan and transmit them to the proper authorities here.

THE MONITOR: Therefore, "to transmit the messages and to listen to their desire" would be the better expression than to use the word "discussion."

- 9 You say that there was some discussion, was there?
 - A Yes.
 - Q What were the points --

A But the point I am making is that that discussion was not the object. The object of my assignment was to convey the Japanese terms to the other party and to carry back the desires of the other.

Q Yes, I know that you told us that the mission was to convey and then to take back views of the Chinese but you say that there was naturally some discussion. Now what I want to know is, what are the points that you discussed with Wang's followers?

A That principally dealt with the question of withdrawal or evacuation of troops.

Discussed the evacuation of troops with these two Chinese whose official position was not yet known to you?

A I as I have Ching-wei.

Q A A V Vice Chair recall.

Q W A A A he was the big man in Q A send his for tion of trooccupied and a send his for the coccupied and the coccupied

6

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

A I did not know their official position but as I have said before they were connected with Wang Ching-wei.

Q And what was Wang's position at that time?

A Vice Chairman of the Kuomintang and also Vice Chairman of the National Defense Council, as I recall.

Q Was that all?

A As to some other aspects all I know is that he was the number two man in China, the number two big man in China.

Q And you took it that he had the power to send his followers to discuss with you about evacuation of troops and the military situation in the occupied areas?

A No, that is not so. Wang Ching-wei was a patriot entertaining ardent desires to realize the ideal of a general peace and tranquillity in China, and in order to realize the peace movement one important condition would be, "What did Japan desire of China?" And because these gentlemen had connections with Mr. Wang Ching-wei I listened to the views conveyed to me by Mr. Kao Tsung-wu and Mei Ssu-ping.

Q Was there any agreement between you and Kao and Mei regarding the situation, the military situation

Morse & Selzer

in China	in	Ch:	ina	?
----------	----	-----	-----	---

1

2

3

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

- A What do you mean by military situation?
- Q Evacuation of troops, and so forth, but you just suggested it.
 - A Yes, there was agreement of views.
- Q And was the commander of the Central China forces advised about these views, the exchange of views?
 - A Had no connection whatsoever.
- ? Tho was the commander of the Central China forces at that time?

THE MONITOR: Is that Expeditionary Force or Area Army?

JUDGE NYI: Expeditionary Force.

A I recall the Commander in Chief as being Lieutenant General YAMADA but I may be wrong.

Q YAMADA, Commander in Chief?

A YAMADA, Otozo. At that time there was no supreme headquarters.

Q Was it HATA?

A No, it was not General HATA. The supreme headquarters was not established until the spring of 1940.

Q Who was the successor of MATSUI as Commander in Chief of the Central China Expeditionary Forces?

e

17 18

19

20

22

23

24

A My memory is not clear on that. I have forgotten.

On the top of page 4 you say that the Chinese proposed that Mr. Wang escape from Chungking according to a pre-arranged plan. What was this pre-arranged plan?

A May I be permitted to speak of this matter in detail? At length?

Q Just sufficient to let us understand.

A On November 11, 1937 Wang Ching-wei had a conference with General Chiang Kai-shek, and Mr. Kao Tsung-wu was present at that conference.

THE MODITOR: This is 9th of November.

very strongly explained to General Chiang Kai-shek the advantages and disadvantages of war and peace but because Chiang Kai-shek did not lend him an ear Mr. Wang came to the conclusion that there were no -- that there would be no results achieved by carrying on a peace movement within Chungking and that the only alternative for him was to escape from Chungking to the outside in order to carry on this movement. That story was published in the Nan Hua Jih-pao of January 15, 1938. That is the name of a newspaper. At that time Chungking was more or less surrounded

Kai-shek himself had no power of initiative, could not act on his own and such being the case it was impossible to irradicate the influence of communism in Chungking, but that mission could be taken only outside of Chungking. These facts are to be found in Mr. Wang Ching-wei's essays entitled, "For an Example," published on March 27, 1939, and also in his essay on "The Concept of Sino-Japanese Relations and their Juture Objective," published on July 6, 1939.

Just wait a minute. My question is, tell us what was the pre-arranged plan. I am not asking you about the conditions in Chungking nor Wang Ching-wei's views or his published articles.

A Such being the situation within Chungking Wang Ching-wei entertained the idea that he must, at least before the 9th of November, that he must escape from Chungking.

THE MONITOR: Slight correction: Such being the case and Wang Ching-wei having had this desire to escape from Chungking it is clear that even as early as 9th of November he already had a plan to escape from Chungking.

A (Continuing) This is what I mean by pre-

ri

CROSS

```
arranged plan.
            THE COMMISSIONER: We will take an adjourn-
  ment now. We will adjourn now until 1:30.
                 (Whereupon, at 1200, a recess was
5
       taken.)
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
 22
 23
 24
 25
```

AFTERNOON SESSION

2

5

6

7

1

3 The Commission met, pursuant to recess,

4 at 1330.

THE COMMISSIONER: Are you ready to proceed,

Mr. Nyi?

JUDGE MYI: Yes.

8

9

10

11

12

called as a witness on SADAAKI KAGESA, behalf of the defense, resumed the stand and testified through Japanese interpreters as follows:

CROSS-EXAMINATION

13

BY JUDGE MYI (Continued):

14 15

Witness, just before the recess we were asking you what was the pre-arranged plan. You may explain to us to some extent what this pre-arranged plan was. Now my question is, when the Chinese proposed to you that they were carrying out this pre-

18

19

17

16

arranged plan was this pre-arrangement known to you

20

before?

out?

I did not know about it at all. A

21 22

Then what was the use of the Chinese to tell you that they want to carry out this pre-arranged plan since they conceived it and they wanted to carry it

23 24

3

5

6

8

10

11 12

13

14 15

16

17

18 19

20

, 21 22

23

24

A This was spoken of after the escape was made by Mr. Wang in accordance with the pre-arranged plan in order to have announced Japan's policy vis-a-vis China.

mentioned this pre-arranged plan in here because they wanted the peace terms to be announced after Mr. Wang escaped from Chungking as per pre-arranged plan. That is the reason that this word was used in that statement.

Q But it was agreed between you and the two
Chinese gentlemen that when they carried out this prearranged plan of escape from Chungking the Japanese
government would be expected to announce the terms
of peace?

A Yes.

Q So did you inform your government that the Chinese would expect an announcement of the Japanese government with regard to the terms of peace?

A Yes.

Q So the KONOE statement of December 22, 1938 was prepared in view of the proposed escape of Wang to Hanoi, was it?

A Yes. I have stated in my affidavit if Mr. Wang escaped they would like to have such a plan

announced. When was Wang's response to KONOE's statement 0 2 3 made? December 29. And that was also pre-arranged, was it? Q I have not heard what the contents of the announcement would be. Did it ever come to your knowledge that 8 Wang's response was made on a previously arranged 9 scheme in order to give the Chinese views with re-10 11 spect to KONOE's statement? I have not heard of it and I have never 12 13 known of it. On page 6, paragraph 8, you refer to the 14 Five Ministers' Conference which decided to send you 15 to see Mr. Wang Ching-wei. Do you recall when was 16 17 this conference held? 18 I do not remember the date but it was in the 19 latter part of March. 20 And do you know who recommended you to do 21 this job? 22 I recommended myself for the assignment. A 23 How was that done? Q 24 I proposed to War Minister ITAGAKI that I should like to take the assignment.

Q In paragraph 8 on page 6 you say that you were sent to see Wang and assist him to reach a safety zone. Did the instructions specify what would be a safety zone?

A I was ordered by War Minister ITAGAKI that all things should be determined at the initiative of Mr. Wang himself.

Q But the order, you say, did instruct you to assist him to reach a safety zone?

A Yes.

Q What would be the safety zone to construe the meaning of the instructions?

A By safety zone is meant a zone where it would be most convenient and safe to carry on and promote a peace movement, and not a place like Hanoi where the very life of Mr. Wang was endangered by the infiltration of assassins from Chungking.

Q Was it intended that the Japanese-occupied territory would be a safety zone for him?

A At that time the Japanese idea was that it would be most inconvenient for Mr. Wang to come to a Japanese safety zone in order to carry out his peace movement and that some area outside of such a zone would be more preferable. However, the decision was left to Mr. Wang himself.

2

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

And what was done afterwards with regard to this place where I'r. Wang was to go? The place decided upon was Shanghai in

accordance with Mr. Wang's own desire.

With regard to your trip to French Indo-China, was previous information given to the Japanese consular authorities there?

Yes. A

Was it a fact that secret code terms were used to hide your identity during this trip?

Yes, that is a fact. À

And did you send a telegram back through the Chief of Staff of the Formosan army soon after your arrival at Hanoi and saying that everything was going on favorably?

Telegrams were sent direct from Hanoi but it may have been that this telegram, a telegram or telegrams were routed through Formosa.

So you do recall that several telegrams were relayed through the Formosan army?

I do not recall any such. All that I recall is that telegrams sent direct from Hanoi to Tokyo were for technical reasons sent through Formosa. These may have been sent through Formosa for technical reasons.

24

2

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

JUDGE NYI: At this juncture, your Honor, the prosecution will produce a number of telegrams sent by the witness to his home authorities between the period April 19 to May 30, 1939. They were either sent directly from Hanoi or relayed through the Formosan army. These telegrams together with many others were obtained from the Japanese Foreign Office. They will tell the story of how --THE COMMISSIONER: It is not proper to say what they will do. Put the telegrams and we will see what story the telegrams will tell. JUDGE NYI: We wish to have them identified by the witness since they have been sent by him.

THE COMMISSIONER: You are entitled to tender the telegrams to him and get him to identify them as ones he sent.

MR. ROBERTS: Are the copies available for the defense?

JUDGE NYI: Yes.

MR. ROBERTS: May I ask, are these in evidence or just that you are expecting to put them in at a later date?

THE COMMISSIONER: Counsel has indicated that he proposes to cut them in now through this witness, as he is entitled to do.

25

Born tope of an

JUDGE NYI: We will first have them marked for identification and then we will decide later whether we want to put them in evidence.

MR. ROBERTS: Yes.

JUDGE NYI: We will first ask the witness 6 to identify the prosecution's No. 1005-4, which is a telegram sent by the witness using his pseudo name KAWANURA. That is the secret code name, "KAWAMURA."

THE COMMISSIONER: Instead of making that 10. announcement the proper thing to do is to ask him whether that is a telegram sent by him and whether he did use that name.

MR. ROBERTS: I was about to request that 14 the statement made by counsel characterizing the document be stricken.

THE COMMISSIONER: That is quite unnecessary. 17 I have already dealt with it. Please do not waste time.

MR. ROBERTS: May I see a copy, please, of the document?

THE COMMISSIONER: Will the witness be good enough to answer the question?

Will you answer whether this is a telegram sent by you and under that name?

Yes.

13

16

18

19

21

THE COMMISSIONER: Very well. You tender that as an exhibit, do you? JUDGE NYI: We will have it marked for 4 |identification. THE COMMISSIONER: Why for identification? Do you not desire to put it in as an exhibit? JUDGE NYI: No, we will cross-examine him on the contents thereof. THE COMMISSIONER: But if he recognizes it, 10 acknowledges it as a telegram sent by him you are 11 entitled if you wish to tender it as an exhibit. I 12 do not quite understand "hy you offer it for identi-13 fication. JUDGE NYI: If your Honor please, we antici-14 pate to tender them all at one time because all these telegrams constitute one whole story. 16 THE COMMISSIONER: Very well. That document 17 then will be marked with the letter "B" at this stage 18 19 for identification. (Whereupon, the document above referred 20 to was marked prosecution exhibit "B" for identi-21 fication and is appended hereto.)

JUDGE NYI: And the next one will be prosecution document 1005-6, which was also a telegram sent under his name--

which you sent?

THE COMMISSIONER: Ask him the question.

2

JUDGE NYI: And under this number --

3 4

THE COMMISSIONER: No, no. Please do not describe it. Ask him the question whether that is a

5

telegram sent by him under that name.

6

JUDGE NYI: Under this number there are

7

three of them and I am going to ask him:

8 9

Witness, in this document there are three telegrams sent in your name. Are these the telegrams

10

I identify all of these.

11 12

JUDGE MYI: You sent.

13

THE COMMISSIONER: So that that can be

14

marked, you say there are three documents there.

15 16 Have they three separate prosecution numbers? JUDGE MYI: We have only one prosecution

17

number.

18

THE COMMISSIONER: For those three?

JUDGE MYI: For the three.

20 21

THE COMMISSIONER: Very well. Then that group of three telegrams will be marked with the

22

letter "C-1," "C-2," and "C-3," respectively. That is for identification only.

23

(Whereupon, the documents above referred to were marked prosecution oxhibits

24

"C-1," "C-2," and "C-3," respectively, for identification and are appended hereto.) 2 JUDGE NYI: The next document, No. 1005-8. 3 This is a document sent under the name of KAWAMURA--4 THE COMMISSIONER: Please do 'not make an 5 announcement about it. It is much simpler and saves 6 time if you put it to the witness: . Is this a document 7 8 saying so and so. 9 BY JUDGE MYI (Continued): Was this document, telegram, sent by you? 10 11 A Yes. The next one will be No. 1005--12 13 THE COMMISSIONER: Just one moment. 14 document just tendered, that is 1005-8, is it? 15 JUDGE NYI: Yes. 16 THE COMMISSIONER: That will be marked with 17 the letter "D" for identification. 18 (Whereupon, the document above 19 referred to was marked prosecution exhibit 20 "D" for identification and is appended hereto.) 21 The next one is document 1005-11. Was this 22 telegram sent by you -- under this number there are 23 two telegrams; were these two telegrams sent by you? 24 The first one was sent by me; the second

25

one, no.

Q The first and second are both signed "KAGESA"-purported to be signed by "KAGESA;" only the third,
the last one, was not signed by you.

THE COMMISSIONER: Will the witness please look at them again?

Q There are three altogether. We want you to identify the first two.

A There are only two telegrams here. The one on the right was sent by me but not the one on the left.

THE COMMISSIONER: And the one on the front page, was that sent by the witness?

THE WITNESS: Yes.

THE COMMISSIONER: Let us be clear. Does that group then contain two telegrams sent by the witness and a third telegram sent by somebody else?

THE WITNESS: I say that there are two telegrams, the first sent by me, the second not sent by me.

THE COMMISSIONER: Very well.

JUDGE NYI: Yes, we understand it. The first sheet contains two telegrams and he said that he sent one and two. Then this on this page--

THE COMMISSIONER: Let it be put to the witness in the form of a question, not a statement from you. You are asking him whether the first sheet

11

10

4

5

6

7

8

13

12

16

15

17

19 20

21

23

contains on the front page a telegram sent by him, on the back of that same page, another telegram sent by him, and on the second sheet a telegram sent by 3 somebody else. That is your question? JUDGE NYI: Yes. THE COMMISSIONER: What do you say to thet, 6 Witness? 7 JUDGE NYI: Is that right? THE VITNESS: Yes. 9 THE COMMISSIONER: Very well. That document, 10 1005-11 will be marked with the letters "E-1," "E-2," 11 and "E-3," for identification. 12 (Whereupon, the documents above 13 referred to were marked prosecution exhibits 14 "E-1," "E-2," and "E-3," respectively, for 15 16 identification, and are appended hereto.) 17 The next number is 1005-13. Was this 18 telegram sent by you? 19 A Yes. . 20 THE COMMISSIONER: That document will be 21 marked with letter "F" for identification. 22 (Whereupon, the document above 23 referred to was marked prosecution exhibit

25

24

"F" for identification and is appended hereto.)

The next number is 1005-19. Was this

telegram sent by you?

A This telegram was not drafted by me but was sent by me. I am responsible for this telegram.

THE COMMISSIONER: That document will be marked with the letter "G" for identification.

(Whereupon, the document above referred to was marked prosecution exhibit "G" for identification and is appended hereto.)

Q The next document is 1005-20. Was this telegram sent by you?

A Yes.

THE COMMISSIONER: That document will be marked with the letter "H" for identification.

(Whereupon, the document above referred to was marked prosecution exhibit "H" for identification and is appended hereto.)

JUDGE NYI: That is all that we will tender at the present moment.

Q Witness, you testified that YANO went to Indo-China with you on the Hokko Maru. That you stated on page 6, end of paragraph 8. Was it a fact that he flew to Shanghai from Tokyo and went to Indo-China by another ship?

A What you have stated is a fact.

Q So what you have put on the affidavit that

8

1

2

3

4

5

6

9

11

13 14

> 15 16

17

18

19

21

22

23

24

2

you went together with YANO on the Hokko Maru was not a fact? That is a mistake. A THE COMMISSIONER: Let us be clear about that. 3 Does he mean it is a mistake on the part of counsel or a mistake by him in his affidavit? 6 THE WITNESS: My affidavit is mistaken. Was that steamer, Hokko Maru, especially 7 8 chartered for the purpose of your trip? 9 Yes. A 10 And who chartered it? 11 Being on very intimate terms with Mr. 12 YAMASHITA, Kamesaburo I made the request to him and 13 he provided it by his generosity. 14 You were supposed to arrive at Hanoi on the 15 16th, were you, of April but you didn't arrive until 16 18th, was that right? 17 I may have made a mistake by one or two 18 days in my affidavit. 19 But YAMO was expected to join you in Hanoi 20 also on the 16th, was that right? 21 I do not recall the date but it is a fact 22 that YANO arrived in Hanoi before me.

THE MONITOR: Slight addition: I do not

recall the date upon which we promised to meet in

24

23

Hanoi but it is a fact that YANO arrived at Hanoi before me.

Q Was it a fact that the Foreign Ministry sent instructions, urgent instructions, to the consular authorities in Hong Kong to bring YANO to Hanoi at the same time as you arrived at Hanoi?

A I have not heard of it.

Q Why didn't you come together on the same ship?

A There is no special reason. It is merely because YANO had the opportunity of going to Hanoi by plane via Hong Kong.

Q Why was it that special instruction was given that he should arrive at Hanoi on the same day as you did? Was it because of the fact that he was in charge of the secret codes?

A No.

Q When you met Wang Ching-wei at Hanoi did you hand him letters from the Foreign Minister ARITA and the War Minister ITAGAKI and the SUZUKI of the Koa-in?

A Yes and also a letter entrusted to me from .
Navy Minister YONAI.

Q What were the code terms you used for your trip? For instance, what was the code term used for yourself?

A At present I only recall that my name was
KAWAMURA but I do not recall other aspects of the
code. However, it was a very inferior and weak code.
Q Do you recall that the code term for Wang
Ching-wei was "cargo"?
A All I remember is that his name was not
"cargo." All I do remember is that his name was
not "cargo" or "freight."
Q Was it another name called TAKEUCHI?
A There may have been such a name as TAKEUCHI
but I have no recollection whether that was the name
given to Mr. Wang Ching-wei.
THE COMMISSIONER: What is the meaning of
the Japanese word you have just used?
JUDGE NYI: I don't know. It is a proper
THE WITNESS: I think there was a name
"TAKEUCHI" but I do not know whether it applied to
Mr. Wang Ching-wei.
Q Do you recall he had some other name besides
that?
A I think there definitely as but these
events having taken place eight years ago I do not
remember.

Do you also recall that the code name for

your ship, Hokku Maru, was "taxi"? I have forgotten that also. Do you also recall that his residence in 2 3 Shanghai was given the name of a godown? 4 THE MONITOR: "His residence," you mean 5 Wang's? 6 JUDGE NYI: Wang's. 7 Yes, it came to my recollection also that 8 godown was the code name for Mr. Wang Ching-wei's 9 hiding place in Shanghai when I glanced through the 10 telegram just handed to me. 11 Do you also recall that the code name for 12 Shanghai was "Osaka"? 13 That, too, I do not remember. 14 Now, on page 7, under section 10, you related 0 15 your trip. When did you leave Hanoi? 16 I recall that to be the 25th. 17 Did Wang Ching-wei leave on the same boat? 0 18 No. 19 A What ship did he take, did he go? 20 Q No, I do not recall the name but it was a 21 A rather small French ship which was chartered for him. 22 Did his ship start also on the 25th of April? 23 0 24 A Yes. Did you plan beforehand that the two ships 25 Q

1

2

5

6

7 8

9

10

11 12

13

14 15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23 24

25

should meet on the high seas off the Nightingale Island?

I do not remember the name of the island but it was south of the Island of Hainan, and the two ships were to meet there and were to make the balance of the voyage to Shanghai together.

On page 7 of your affidavit, the last four lines, you stated that on the 28th you did receive from Mr. Wang Ching-wei a communication to the effect that he wanted to transfer to the Hokko Maru, and in the same evening he changed ships presumably in the offing northeast of Bias Bay. Did you suggest in the affidavit that the idea of changing ships came from Weng Ching-wei and not because of the pre-arranged plan?

That is what I mean.

But you just admitted that everything was arranged before you started that he will come over to your ship and not by the cable communication from his ship.

When did I admit that? A

I asked you whether it was -- did you plan beforehand that the two ships should meet on high seas off Nightingale Island and you say that you do not remember the name of the island but there was some

place that you planned to meet. A Yes, I said so. THE PRESIDENT: We will adjourn now until half past one. (Whereupon, at 1200, a recess was taken.)

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

19

20

21

AFTERNOON SESSION

The Tribunal met, pursuant to recess, at 1330, Honorable Erima Harvey Northcroft, Member from the Dominion of New Zealand, not sitting.

MARSHAL OF THE COURT: The International Military Tribunal for the Far East is now resumed. THE PRESIDENT: Judge Hsiang. JUDGE HSIANG: Reading:

Yes, I said so. A

Then there is some inconsistency between your statement--

THE COMMISSIONER: That need not be answered. You have established inconsistency. Why waste time about it? Please go on to your next question.

THE WITNESS: I should like to state to your Honor that there is no inconsistency. Perhaps there might be some misunderstanding because of a mistake in expression.

THE COMMISSIONER: Very well, then the matter had better be cleared up.

THE WITNESS: The plan was for both ships to meet at some point south of the Island of Hainan and to proceed to Shanghai side by side.

And that was the pre-arranged plan before you started from Hanoi, was that right?

A At the time of the departure from Hanoi, I have heard that although the plan was for both ships to proceed side by side an occasion might arise because of nevigation difficulties at which time it may be necessary for Mr. Wang to change ships.

Q What were the navigation difficulties at that time?

.19

A At the time of the departure from Hanoi, I have heard that although the plan was for both ships to proceed side by side an occasion might arise because of navigation difficulties at which time it may be necessary for Mr. Wang to change ships.

Q What were the navigation difficulties at that time?

.

.19

A Mr. Wang was on a ship, a very small ship, with a displacement of nearly 700 tons and even a slight storm would make it difficult for it to proceed on its voyage, whereas I was on a ship, 5000 tons in weight, and that is why I spoke of the difficulties.

Q Then why didn't you take Mr. Wang along with you in your ship?

THE COMMISSIONER: Just a moment before you answer. Yes, Mr. Roberts.

MR. ROBERTS: I respectfully submit that these questions are immaterial and irrelevant, and it seems to me to be wasting the time of the Court.

THE COMMISSIONER: The questions are entirely proper and must be answered.

a This is a very important point, taking into consideration Mr. Wang's political concepts and philosophy. His idea was that he would like to serve his country, China, by putting into action all matters in accordance with his own initiative without receiving assistance from the outside and that he would take a small ship -- that, if possible, he would not like to entrust his mission to other parties but would even take a chance on a small vessel in making this voyage and would change ships only when travel by such means

.

... mr Mire . watersays

became impossible.

So you used the code terms and arranged to change ships on high seas in order to evade attention of the public that you or the Japanese are not at the back of the Wang Ching-wei movement; was that your intention?

THE COMPISSIONER: Just a moment. Yes, Mr. Roberts.

MR ROBERTS: I object on the ground that the statement of the prosecutor is not correct and assumes a state of facts which is not in evidence.

THE COMMISSIONER: It is not a statement. It is a question and a perfectly proper question. The question will be answered.

A I speak for my conscience and state that the statement made by the prosecution is a distortion of the facts.

What was the object of using code terms and starting on two different ships?

MR ROBERTS: May I ask that one question be asked at a time instead of a double question as this seems to be?

THE COMMISSIONER: If the witness has difficulty in answering a double question he may take that objection. It seems a simple enough question to

1 2

3

6

5

7 8

9

10

11 12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22 23

24

25

....

answer.

A In going to and returning from Hanoi to fetch Mr. Wang all movements were kept in absolute secrecy. Because Mr. Wang's life was in danger and would be in danger if he continued to stay in Hanoi because of the infiltration of assassins, it is clearer than day that if the fact were known that Mr. Wang were to leave Hanoi his life would be in danger. This is why codes were used.

Q Was not Shanghai just as dangerous or even more so at that time?

A The decision with respect to the degree of the danger was left entirely to Mr. Wang himself.

Q What difference does it make to you if Mr. Wang and you started on two different ships or on the same ship?

A No difference at all to me. We just merely met the desires of Mr. Wang himself.

Q Wasn't it a fact that when Mr. Wang's ship did not join you on the high seas in time you were anxious and urgent measures were resorted to to search for Wang's ship?

A That is a fact.

Q Was it also a fact that the navy had been requested to search for Wang's ship by orders from

Tokyo?

A Yes, I heard of that later.

THE COMMISSIONER: Are you now going to something different, Mr. Nyi?

JUDGE NYI: Yes.

THE COMMISSIONER: Very well, we will take a ten minutes' adjournment at this stage.

(Whereupon, at 1440, a recess was taken until 1450, after which the proceedings were resumed as follows:)

THE COMMISSIONER: Will you please continue,

Mr. Nyi?

JUDGE NYI: Yes.

BY JUDGE NYI (Continued):

Q Witness, did anyone suggest to you that Shanghai was not a safe place for Wang Ching-wei before he made plans to go there?

A Not from Japan although I have heard that there was considerable argument among Wang's followers in connection with the matter where he should seek refuge or seek safety.

Q Did you ask the Tokyo authorities, home authorities in Tokyo, that a residence -- residences, more than one -- should be prepared for Wang Ching-wei and his party?

9

1

2

3

6

7

8

10

11

12

14

15 16

17 18

19

20

21

23

24

2

3

4 5

6

9

10 11

13

14

12

15

16

17 18

19

20 21

23

22

25

24

A Yes.

Q And was that done to safeguard Wang Ching-wei's person against any possible danger?

A No, these several residences were established because Mr. Wang Ching-wei's circle was so large that several were required to accommodate them.

Q Was it a fact that you asked for the protection of the guards for his houses and also the marines to be present at the wharf when Wang Chingwei and his party arrived?

A I have forgotten with regard to the point of landing but I did request that guards be placed tentatively at his provisional place of hiding.

Q In addition to all these preparations made for him you requested the home government that the plan should be carried out according to schedule, did you not?

To refresh your mind I will read to you a short passage in one of the telegrams which you just identified; that is in document 1005-8, which has been marked exhibit "D". You stated: "The negotiation is completed and plans for loading the eargo" (meaning Wang Ching-wei) "have already been arranged. Moreover, as the situation does not permit any change in the

plans, we wish to carry it out according to the fixed schedule."

THE MONITOR: May I see the Japanese version of it?

THE COMMISSIONER: What document is that?

JUDGE NYI: That is document 1005-8.

JUDGE DELL: Exhibit "D" for identification.

Q (Continued) What was the urge on you to prosecute this plan without delay? Do you still say that it was Wang Ching-wei's own idea to carry out this plan?

A The reason why it was stated that the situation did not permit of any delay was that next door to Mr. Wang's residence in Hanoi a group of assassins had rented a house next to Mr. Wang's under the name of Southwest Airlines Company causing danger to the life, to the person of Mr. Wang.

Q Was it also true that Wang Ching-wei would be exposed to the same danger or even more danger if he should enter Shanghai?

A With regard to that, as I have said before, everything was decided in accordance with Mr. Wang's own initiative.

Q Did Mr. Wang himself decide that he should call on Formosa?

2

3

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

24

25

No, that was decided by me. A Was Keelung in North Formosa? Q Yes. How many days would it take ordinarily by Q steamer of your size, of the size of the Hokko Maru, to Shanghai? I think about three days. Q the 4th or 5th of May. high seas? No, that is pure speculation. A it is said that the sailing had been made slow so 20 that the ship may arrive at Shanghai on the 6th of 21 22 May. Now, do you --THE COMMISSIONER: Before that is answered, 23

to sail between Keelung and Shanghai, or from Keelung When did you leave Keelung for Shanghai? I do not remember but I think it was around Was it true that you could have reached Shanghai very much earlier than the 8th of May? Simply because the residences of Wang Ching-wei were net yet prepared you slewed down purposely on the We have here a document from the Chief of Staff to the Ministry of Foreign Affairs and in that

your objection?

MR. ROBERTS: Object to the prosecutor's

reading from anything unless it is a document that is offered for identification purposes.

THE COMMISSIONER: That is not a good ground for objection at all. Is that your only objection?

MR. ROBERTS: It does not indicate the nature of the document or whether or not it is an exhibit properly in evidence.

THE COMMISSIONER: That is not a good objection either.

JUDGE NYI: Your Honor, I do not propose to have it tendered in evidence or marked for identification. I just want to show it to the witness to see whether he knows anything of that.

THE COMMISSIONER: You are entitled to do that.

MR. ROBERTS: Nor does it appear that any question has been asked. It is simply a statement made by the prosecutor.

THE COMMISSIONER: Prosecutor has not yet had time to ask the question. We have been dealing with your objections.

Q My question will be: Was it a fact that the Hokko Maru could have arrived at Shanghai by the 4th at normal speed, but as the preparation for

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

10

20

21

22

23

24

25

dwelling at Shanghai shall be finished on the 4th,

the sailing had been slowed down so that the ship

may arrive at Shanghai on the 6th?

A I don't remember about slowing the ship.

Would it refresh your memory by showing

you this document?

A Perhaps.

THE COMMISSIONER: Then let him see the document to save time.

MR. ROBERTS: May we ask that it be marked for identification?

THE COMMISSIONER: That remains to be seen depending upon the use the prosecution counsel propose to make of it.

A This telegram does not refresh my memory.

Q Do you still say that you started from Keelung on the 4th or 5th of May?

A When I said the 4th or 5th it was based on a presumption calculated according to a ship's usual speed.

Q So that was your guesswork. Could you tell me more definitely when, on which day of May, you started from Keelung to Shanghai?

A To the first part of the question, that is just a guess on my part; to the latter part of the

question, I do not remember that. Did you also request transportation for 1 Wang Ching-wei's comrades to be taken from Hanci 2 3 to Shanghai? That is separate from Mr. Wang's plan? A Yes. 0 Do you mean to say in accordance with a 6 A plan quite separate from Mr. Wang's own plan? 7 I said, did you ask for transportation for 8 9 Wang's comrades to be taken from Hanoi to Shanghai? 10 Yes, I assisted in their transportation to 11 Shanghai in accordance with Mr. Wang's desire. 12 Were Wang's comrades mostly in Hanoi at 13 that time? 14 Perhaps the only influential person in 15 Mr. Wang's circle in Hanoi was Mr. Wang's wife. 16 About how many of them were in Hanoi at 17 that time? 18 I recall that Mr. Wang's party, including 19 ris boy or valet, was somewhere around twenty. 20 Previously you have stated that Wang issued 21 a telegram in response to when he left -- after he 22 left Chungking. In this exhibit "F" you have men-23 tioned the "war of telegrams." Was the telegram which 24

Wang dispatched after he left Chungking the start of

this "war of telegrams"?

THE COMMISSIONER: Before that is answered, that would appear to be merely an opinion on the part of the witness and therefore not proper to be asked.

Q What did you have in mind when you used this term, "war of telegrams" with Chiang? That "Chiang" is Chiang Kai-shek.

A It was a battle of words between Mr. Wang Ching-wei and General Chiang Kai-shek because General Chiang Kai-shek insisted upon resistance against Japan, whereas Mr. Wang on the other hand insisted upon peace with Japan.

Q In this same telegram you said that, "As to his proposed 'war of telegrams' with Chiang, after his arrival at Shanghai, "ang is, of course, contemplating some 'terroristic' counterplot." And continuing you say, "Accordingly, I wish to set up his headquarters as soon as possible at the place which is convenient for such activities."

MR. ROBERTS: May I suggest that the document referred to be shown to the witness?

THE COMMISSIONER: That is quite unnecessary.

It has been read from the very document in Japanese
to him. The interpreter is reading from the document

4

2

7

6

8

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

19

18

20

22

23

25

.

.

itself.

MR. ROBERTS: All right.

Q Now my question will be, how did you know that wang was contemplating this terroristic counterplot?

- A What is the date of this telegram?
- Q May 3. Did Wang himself tell you?

A Yes, some countermeasures against terrorism must be taken in accordance with Mr. Wang's own plans.

THE MONITOR: Correction: In accordance with the plan of Mr. Wang the countermeasures against the terrorism by the Chungking element must be figured out.

Q But according to the wording of the telegram
Wang himself was contemplating this terroristic counterplot?

THE COMMISSIONER: Now that something is turning upon the very language, perhaps the witness may see his own telegram.

(Whereupon, a document was handed to the witness.)

- A Now I understand.
- Q So he was contemplating the terroristic counterplot and in support of his plan you say you wished to set up his headquarters convenient for his

3

2

1

6

.

9

10

11

13

14

16 17

18

19

20 21

22

23

activities?

A Yes, what I mean by terroristic countermeasures is a defensive measure. The various plans,
however, do not refer to countermeasures against
terrorism but they include all steps necessary to
carry on plans for peace.

THE MONITOR: The "verious plans" in your document may be "verious measures." What is the exact wording there?

JUDGE NYI: "Convenient for such activities."

THE COMMISSIONER: Does that mean, Monitor,
that there is some doubt about the propriety of the
translation?

THE MONITOR: Yes, sir.

THE COMMISSIONER: I think the document should be referred to the Language Arbiter who can pass upon it. We will just wait while that is done.

The Arbiter reports that in paragraph 2 of the telegram, "Wang is, of course, contemplating some 'terroristic' counterplot," should read, "Wang had in mind a counterplot against terroristic activities."

The Arbiter reports that the final words of paragraph 2 should read, "which is speedy and convenient for various activities."

The document will read in accordance with

1 2

2

3

6

10

the Arbiter's view upon it.

Q Do you call it a defensive measure to counteract against the terroristic activities and set up a headquarters at a place convenient to carry out such activities?

THE COMMISSIONER: The language is to carry out the "various activities;" not "such activities," but "various activities."

Q I change it to "various" then. Will you answer my question?

A Yes, defensive measure.

Q If it was Mr. Wang's own will to do all these things why would it bother you to set up a 13 headquarters for him?

A In accordance with Mr. Wang's wishes.

And to what extent did you help him along this line? Did you actually set up a headquarters of him?

18 A Yes.

19 Q And where was that?

20 A On an extension of the International Settle-

Q Was that No. 76 Jessfield Road? Was that place where all the terroristic activities originated from Wang's side?

THE COMMISSIONER: Wouldn't it be more satisfactory to put the question: Was that where you set up Mr. Wang's headquarters? That is really what you want to know, isn't it?

JUDGE NYI: Yes.

THE COMMISSIONER: Yes, ask the question in that form then, please.

THE WITNESS: What I set up for Mr. Wang was his residence. No. 76 is the headquarters from which Mr. Wang carried on his anti-terroristic measures.

Q Who was in charge of that No. 76 Jessfield Road headquarters?

A Ting Mu-tsung.

Q To what extent did the Japanese help him 'in his work in this 76 Jessfield Road headquarters?

A The Japanese assistance principally included liaison with the Kempei Tai in Shanghai and to ereradicate any friction between the two parties, is to say, between the Japanese gendarmerie and Mr. Wang's circle.

Q Were these Japanese closely associated with you?

A Yes, they came under my command after my arrival in Shanghai.

Q Was it true that Japanese terrorists have
been sent from Tokyo to Shanghai for the above purpose?

A I heard of the plan and it was rejected, and
as a result not a single one came.

Q So it was planned, was it?

A I heard such an information and issued the rejection.

Q But they had come to Shanghai at the time when it came to your knowledge, is that right?

A No.

O I read to you the part of your telegram dated the 3d of May in this same exhibit: "It is reported that Japanese terrorists"--

MR. ROBERTS: Just a minute, please. I object to reading from this document which is only marked for identification, due to the fact that we have not had a chance to check its accuracy in translation. One correction has already been made -- that is, two of them made already. There may be more.

THE COMMISSIONER: There is no reason why the cross-examination should not continue nevertheless. You have Japanese people about you. You could have done it and, even then, if there be doubt, the witness himself will have the document read to him and if he challenges the propriety of the translation, we have

--

a Language Arbiter. That is not a good objection. 1 MR. ROBERTS: May I ask that the witness have 2 the document in Japanese? 3 THE COMMISSIONER: That is entirely unnec-4 essary because the Monitor is reading from the very 5 document which he has acknowledged to be his. 6 Will you please continue, Mr. Nyi? 7 MR. ROBERTS: May I ask that, if possible, 8 we have a copy of this document in Japanese? 9 THE COMMISSIONER: It is not practicable at 10 this moment. Well, I don't know -- have you a copy 11 12 in Japanese? 13 JUDGE NYI: We don't have it now. 14 THE COMMISSIONER: Can you have one available 15 tomorrow morning? 16 JUDGE NYI: We can make one. 17 THE COMMISSIONER: The original is in the 18 possession of the representative of the Secretariat 19 and can be inspected by the Japanese counsel. 20 I read to you paragraph 4 of the telegram: 21

"It is reported that Japanese terrorists have been sent from Tokyo to Shanghai for the above purpose. But, considering the bitter experiences in the past, I wish you would absolutely stop the plan. It may seem a good plan to wait in some place outside Shanghai

24

22

23

25

. The h

until the preparation for guard and protection by
Ting Mu-tsung will be finished, but I don't believe
it will be finished within two or three months, in
view of the general situation."

Taking this whole paragraph together it appears that the terrorists had been sent to Shanghai but you were opposed to it for the reasons you stated in the latter part of the paragraph.

THE COMMISSIONER: What is your question, Mr. Nyi?

JUDGE NYI: My question is yet to be given.

THE COMMISSIONER: You are prefacing your question with rather an unnecessary amount of address.

Please try to reach your question more shortly.

JUDGE MYI: I will give him the question now.

Q Was it your intention as expressed in the latter part of this paragraph that if the preparations for guard and the protection by Ting Mu-tsung could be finished it would be an idea to carry out the plan so your difference is not as to matter of policy but as to the time, the right time to carry out the policy,

was that right?

A Is that telegram date the 3d or 4th of May?

Q Yes, 3d of May.

MR. ROBERTS: I understand from Japanese

8

9

7

5

10

12

13

14

16 17

18

19 20

21

22

24

25

The second sections

Greenberg & Leftler

counsel that there may be a question of the translation in paragraph 4 after the word "plan," as to whom it refers to.

THE COMMISSIONER: I do not think there is.

That is not pertinent to the question new being put.

What was the witness' answer?

A I recall that telegram as having been sent on the 3d or 4th of May through the Chief of Staff of the Formosan Army, that is to say, when I was in Formosa I received the information that Tokye, that rightist elements -- there was a plan to send rightist elements from Tokyo to Shanghai.

THE COMMISSIONER: To send what?
THE INTERPRETER: Extreme right1st elements.
THE COMMISSIONER: What is that?
THE MONITOR: Right wing elements.
THE COMMISSIONER: That is politics?
THE MONITOR: Extreme nationalists.

A (Continuing) And this is the telegram I sent in opposition to that. When I arrived in Shanghai on the 8th of May I learned that such a group had not arrived.

Q Do terrorists and rightists mean the same thing?

THE COMMISSIONER: Is that Japanese word

3 4

5

6

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

17

19 20

18

21

23

capable of meaning both those things, the word, "It is reported that Japanese terrorists"?

THE MONITOR: Almost, sir. I think the witness used the term "rightest terrorists," or "right wing terrorists."

THE COMMISSIONER: I ask the Arbiter, as we are nearing the time of adjournment, to take that document and this translation and report in the morning what his opinion is of the proper translation of that paragraph. We will not interfere. We will go on with cross-examination in the meantime. We will have that report in the morning. Have you further questions on that same document?

JUDGE NYI: Yes.

THE COMMISSIONER: Please continue.

BY JUDGE NYI (Continued):

Q What were the "bitter experiences in the past" that you referred to in this paragraph?

A From my association with internal problems, that is, internal national problems, I was very familiar with the harm that could be done by the activities of the right wing terrorists.

Q And the terrorist policy had been used before, had it; is that right? On what occasions had such terrorist activities been used?

5

10

11

12

14

15

16

A I hate terrorists and I have never used them. That is why I objected to them.

THE COMMISSIONER: That is not an answer to the question. Please answer the question.

Q On what occasions had terrorists, terrorism, been used before since you say that there were bitter experiences in the past?

A I am pointing to the many terrorist incidents that have occurred in Japan but I have never written that I used terrorists myself.

Q But the Japanese terrorists had been planned to be sent over so it was not purely a matter of terrorism in Japan in this case--

MR. ROBERTS: I submit that this has been answered by this witness at least once.

THE COMMISSIONER: I have not yet heard counsel's question. There will be time enough to raise an objection.

MR. ROBERTS: I thought he had finished; I am sorry.

And apparently you are speaking of a situation in Shanghai. Now, what were these bitter experiences which had been used in the past so that you do not agree to use them in Shanghai?

THE COMMISSIONER: Then I think the objection

17

18 19

20

21

23

is properly taken. That is a question the witness answered a moment ago. Please preceed to your next question. Who were those Japanese terrorists you 0 stated in this telegram? THE COMMISSIONER: Yes, Mr. Roberts. MR. ROBERTS: I object to the calling for an opinion. This is just simply a report that the witness referred to -- no one in particular. There is no merit in THE COMMISSIONER: the objection. The question may be put. Who were these Japanese terrorists who had been sent? Were they in the employ of the Japanese autherities? MR. ROBERTS: May I further object on the ground the witness has stated that no terrorists actually were sent but there was some idea or plan.

THE COMMISSIONER: Mr. Roberts, I must ask you to raise objections only when they have merit. There is no merit whatever in it. Please do not

The witness will be good enough to answer the question?

A While in Taiwan I received the information, I heard the information from the headquarters of the

4

2

5

6

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

interrupt needlessly.

23

24

Formosan army that there was a report to the effect that a terrorist troup was going to Shanghai and I was not informed as to what type of organization they belonged to or the names of its members.

THE COMMISSIONER: The time has now come for an adjournment and I will ask the Arbiter to take all the translations of that group of telegrams "B" to "H" inclusive and confer with his fellow members and revise the translations of all of them over night because as there has been some challenge to one it is well that they should all be reviewed.

MR. ROBERTS: If the Court please, under the rules is not the defense entitled to copies of documents which the prosecution intends to use in court?

THE COMMISSIONER: These are being used by way of cross-examination and in fullness of time no doubt you will receive copies.

These proceedings are now adjourned until 9:30 tomorrow morning.

(Whereupon, at 1545, an adjournment was taken until Friday, 23 May 1947, at 0930.)

First National Hospital, Tokyo 23 May, 1947

3

1

4

5

7

8

10

12

14

13

15 16

18

17

19 20

21

22

24

The Commission met, pursuant to adjournment, at 0945.

Appearances:

For the Prosecution Section, same as before. For the Defense Section, same as before.

(English to Japanese and Japanese to English interpretation was made by the Language Section, TATE.)

THE COMMISSIONER: I ask the Language Arbiter to report.

LANGUAGE ARBITER (Mr. Sano): With the Commissioner's permission and in compliance with the order, we submit the following language corrections:

Translation of prosecution exhibit Nos. B; C1, 2 and 3; D; and E1, 2 and 3 are generally acceptable to the Board. However, there are two corrections recommended to be made:

Document F, paragraph No. 2, should read as follows:

"Wang is naturally anticipating, after his

4

6

7

9

11

12

13

14 15

17

16

19

13

21

23 24

25

arrival in Shanghai, a battle of telegrams with Chiang and also terreristic opposition. Accordingly, he wishes to set himself up as soon as possible at the place most convenient for his various activities."

Peragraph 2, line 4 of document G, prosecution document 1005-19, substitute "would" for "did", and delete translater's note to the end of sentence, and substitute "prematurely".

THE COMMISSIONER: Are those all the correct-

LANGUAGE ARBITER (Mr. Sane): Yes, sir.

THE COMMISSIONER: I would suggest for the cenvenience of all counsel, that the arbiter go with my associate in my car to the War Ministry where my typist will type a number of copies of this report which can be handed to counsel and used. That should be back pretty soon.

In the meantime we will continue as far as is apprepriate with those which are available.

JUDGE NYI: May I proceed, your Honor? THE COMMISSIONER: Do, please.

SADAAKI KAGESA, called as a witness on behalf of the defense, resumed the stand and testified through Japanese interpreters as follows:

CROSS-EXALINATION

BY JUDGE NYI (Continued):

Q Witness, toward the end of the session yesterday afternoon I was asking you who were the terrorists about to be sent from Japan. As far as I remember, we haven't got your answer. Will you give us the answer now?

A We learned that there were terrorists in Formosa. But, since we were unable to make thorough investigation, I do not know the names nor to which parties they belong -- which organization they belong.

THE MONITOR: Slight correction there: We learned about the despatching of terrorist gangs from Tokyo in Formosa. Not "we," but "I" learned about this in Formosa. Since then I have not made any investigation. Therefore, I do not know the political affiliation of these terrorist cange or the names of their members.

Q Did you make any investigation after your arrival at Shanghai?

A I did not think it was necessary to investi-

3

1

,

7

8

10

11

12 13

14

15

17

18

20

21

22

23

gate these terrorists gangs, so I did not make any investigation whatsoever. Q You seem to have been very much concerned about the safety of Mr. Wang and also about the activities -- the various activities you would take. 4 Are you not also concerned about the standing of these -- sending of these Japanese terrorists from Tokyo? MR. ROBERTS: May I submit that this question 9 has already been answered by the witness at least 10 twice? 11 THE COMMISSIONER: I don't recall that. How-12 ever, I think the question does offend, as it is more 13 in the nature of comment than an exemination to ascer-14 tain the facts. 15 The witness did enswer. An enswer having 16 been given, we must be told what his answer was. 17 THE MONITOR: No, sir. He said, "Should I 18 answer?" 19 THE COMMISSIONER: I see. Then the witness 20 21 did not answer. THE MONITOR: No, sir. 22 THE COMMISSIONER: Very well, he need not. 23 Were the terrorists and the rightists the 24

25

same thing?

THE COMMISSIONER: That matter was canvassed yesterday and need not be pursued further.

Q From whom did you learn that terrorists were being sent from Japan?

A I learned this from a member of the Japanese forces in Taiwan -- headquarters of Japanese forces in Taiwan.

Q And how did he know? Fid you inquire?

A This person was the man who had recently returned to Formosa from Tokyo.

Q Oh, then he must have the firsthand information. Did you go any further to ask how he got this information in Tokyo?

A There are true and false informations.

But, concerning the despatch of terrerists from Tokye, since I did not think it was necessary, I turned down the offer.

MR. ROBERTS: (To the interpreter) Please speak up. I cannot hear you.

MR. SUTTON: I cannot hear him either.

Q You were not aware of the names of these terrorists, and do you know who was sending these terrorists?

MR. ROBERTS: I submit that this, likewise, had been covered previously.

2

1

4 5

6

7

8

9

11 12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

21

22

8

11

12

13

14

15

16

THE COMMISSIONER: The answer can be given shortly in case there be any doubt about it.

CROSS

As I have repeatedly said, I did not take any steps to obtain any information concerning the despatch of terrorist gangs from Tokyo.

But, you did take pains to stop the sending of the terrorists, did you?

THE COMMISSIONER: That is a comment and, again, need not be answered. I must ask you, Mr. Nyi, to ask questions which will elicit facts rather 10 than to debate with the witness.

JUDGE NYI: I will withdraw that.

THE COMMISSIONER: Because the debate involves matters of comment which you can make at a later stage.

Your telegram of May 3 stated, "I wish you would absolutely stop the plan." Now, was it a fact that a plan was conceived by the very persons to whom you sent the telegram?

A I requested that the despatch of terrorists be stopped, but I did not say anything --

THE MONITOR: But I did not consider that the person to whom I sent the telegram was despatching the terrorists himself.

If those persons did not have anything to do

17

18

19 20

21

22

with the plan of sending the terrorists, what meaning would it have for you to send -- to convey your objections to them? I am not calling for any opinion, but I want to ask you if you know any fact in relation to that.

MR. ROBERTS: I submit that the question is more argumentative than a question.

THE COMMISSIONER: The question is perfectly proper, and it is to be answered. I must ask you, Mr. Roberts, not to make idle and unnecessary objections. It is merely time wasting.

MR. ROBERTS: May I respectfully submit that I only make objections, if your Honor please, if I think they have merit.

THE COMMISSIONER: You make a great many unmeritorious objections which waste a good deal of time.

A The telegram was addressed to a section chief of the General Headquarters -- General Head Staff, and this man assisted me in preparations for going to Hanoi, and he had nothing whatsoever to do with the despatch of these terrorist gangs.

Q When did Fr. Wang Ching-wei decide to go to Tokyo?

A He intimated that he wished to go to Tokyo

. 2

on the 7th -- on or about the 7th of May while we were on board the ship, but it was formally decided after he arrived in Shanghai.

Q What date was that when he was positively sure that he was to go to Tokyo?

A I think it was about the 9th of May.

Q In your conversation with Mr. Wang did you know as a fact that he could not set up a meace government in occupied China without the support or protection of Japan?

A Thile we were on board ship, nothing was said about concrete Japanese assistance concerning the establishment of a Central Government.

Q "ere you already, at that time, an assistant to him when you were on the way toward establishment of a new government?

A I do not understand the question. Would you kindly repeat it?

THE COMMISSIONER: That need not be necessary.

"ill the Japanese reporter please read the question back?

(Whereupon, the question was read by the Japanese reporter.)

A hr. ang told me of his desire to -- of his wish to establish a Central Government. But, since

4

2

5

7

8

9

11

12

14

15

17

18

20

22

23 24

3

4 5

7

8

10 11

12

13 14

16 17

15

18 19

20

21

22

24 25

I did not know what the Japanese Government -- what the intentions of the Japanese Government was concerning this question, I could say nothing.

THE MONITOR: So, therefore, there was no question of helping or not helping the establishment. The proposition hadn't gone that far yet.

Q Didn't you know that the Japanese Government had long ago decided to set up a Central Government in China?

A I learned of Mr. Wang's desire to set up a Central Government at that time. I learned for the first time then that Mr. Wang wished to set up a Central Government. That was most surprising both for the Japanese Government and for myself.

Q You didn't answer my question. My question is, didn't you know that the Japanese Government had decided long ago, not whether Yang Ching-wei himself had decided long ago, to set up a government? What is your answer to that?

A When I was Chief of the Hilitary Affairs Section -- while I was Chief of the Hilitary Affairs Section, the Japanese Government did not have any idea of establishing a Central Government in China.

Q Didn't you know, when you went to North China in the middle of May, that Wang Ching-wei had approached the Italian Ambassador to use the Italian Concession in Tientsin for his activities in North China?

A In the middle of May?

THE MONITOR: Did you say "middle of May"?

THE INTERPRETER: The witness went to

Tientsin -- to North China in the middle of May?

Q Yes, during his absence from Shanghai, while he was taking a trip to North China.

A According to my recollection, I was in Shanghai with Wang Ching-wei in the middle of May.

Q Was it a fact that the Japanese consular authorities in Shanghai waited for your return in order to reach a decision about Wang Ching-wei's request to use the Italian Concession in Tientsin?

A In any event, I had no contact with the Italian Embassy.

Q I am not suggesting that you had any contact with the Italian Embassy. I am asking you whether wang Ching-wei had approached the Italian authorities for the use of Tientsin Concession and whether it was a fact that the Japanese authorities in Shanghai, because of your absence, could not reach a decision.

A I have never heard that Mr. 'ang intended to use the Tientsin Concession for his activities.

3

1

5

6

7 8

9

10

12

13

15

16

18

19

21

22

23

_	at the Obline days
	Q Did Mr. Wang take a trip to North China dur-
	ing that time?
	A No.
	Q Oh, after his return from Tokyo?
	A Yes, that is so.
	Q "here did he stay in Tientsin?
	A He stayed in the Italian Concession there.
	Q Was it a fact that previous arrangements
	had been made with the Italian authorities for him
	to stay there and carry out his activities?
	A Censul General YANO made arrangements con-
	cerning the house, but I do not know what steps were
	actually taken by him.
	Q Do you suggest that he had nothing to do
	with the arrangement of the Italian authorities with
	regard to this thing?
	A Yes, that is so.
	Q I will show you a document which I will hand
	to you to identify to see whether you agree with the
	content of this document.
	(Whereupon, a document was handed
	to the witness.)
	JUDGE NYI: Your Honor, it is a long document.
1	May I tell him which part that his name appears?
	THE COMMISSIONER: If you please.

Q (Continuing) In the fourth paragraph it says that, "according to investigations made thereafter, the situation seemed to be almost as per attached telegram No. 1350. I shall wait for Colonel KAGESA who is scheduled to return to Shanghai tomorrow or the next day to make arrangements," and so forth.

Now, this is one place where your name appears, and it seems that they are waiting for your decision.

MR. ROBERTS: May I suggest that the prosecutor refrain from reading any of the contents until we ascertain the authenticity of the document.

THE COMPISSIONER: I am not concerned with the authenticity. He wants to know what the witness has to say about it. I agree that nothing more should be said until we hear what the witness says about the document.

A I think this is an accurate telegram -- a true telegram. I think this is a true telegram.

THE COMMISSIONER: That is not really what we want to know. What we want to know is whether the witness either saw the telegram before or whether the fact of a telegram having been sent in these terms was communicated to him at any time.

-

THE "ITMESS: No, I have not seen this telegram before, nor do I have any recollection of its contents. However, by looking at this telegram I now recall that I did go to Peking. THE COMMISSIONER: In view of that answer, Mr. Nyi, if you want to tender this document as an exhibit, it must be done through some other witness and in some other way. JUDGE NYI: May I have it marked for identi-9 10 fication? THE COMISSIONER: It will be marked with 11 the letter "I" for identification. 12 THE "ITHESS: There are two telegrams. 13 JUDGE NYI: It is not two telegrams; it is 14 one telegram and an enclosure. Yes, there are two 15 telegrams; that's right: one is enclosed in the other. 16 THE COMMISSIONER: In that case, they had 17 better have separate markings. The first one being --18 JUDGE NYI: It is one prosecution document, 19 20 1005-18. THE COMMISSIONER: In that case, then, if it 21 is one document with two portions, it will receive 22 one letter only, and that will be the letter "I." 23 BY JUDGE NYI (Continued): Now, you have admitted that you were in

7

9

10

14

Peking about the middle of May. But, do you recall, by looking at this telegram, that you had anything to do with the negotiations with the Italian authorities for the use of the Italian Concession by Mr. Wang? No, that is not a fact. A

If that doesn't refresh your mind, then will you look into the second telegram which still goes further -- the end of the second paragraph. It says --

MR. ROBERTS: Just a minute, please.

THE COMMISSIONER: No, that is not proper, Mr. Nyi. You have asked the witness to look at the end of the second telegram. Let him look at the end of the second telegram without your reading.

JUDGE NYI: All right.

THE COMMISSIONER: Is it the last paragraph 15 16in the second telegram?

JUDGE NYI: The last paragraph -- in the 17 18Second paragraph in the second telegram.

THE COMMISSIONER: Will the witness please 2d bok at the last part of the last paragraph of the 25 cond telegram.

22 JUDGE NYI: The second paragraph of the 23 cond telegram.

(Whereupon, a document was handed

25

```
to the witness.)
         I have seen the telegram.
2
        Does that refresh your mind? May I also
point to you another telegram?
         THE COMMISSIONER: Just a minute. We
5
haven't his answer yet.
          I think it would be better if I explain the
8 object of my trip to North China.
         That's not what I want.
      A With the Court's permission --
10
          THE COMMISSIONER: Will the witness please
11
12 confine himself to the question.
          THE TITMESS: I do not know what to answer.
13
          THE COLLISSIONER: Will you please put your
14
15 question again.
16
           JUDGE NYI: Yes.
       Q My question is, were you consulted about
17
18 Wang Ching-wei's proposed use of the Italian Conces-
  ion in North China by authorities -- Japanese
  authorities in Shanghai?
       A No, I have no recollection of having been
 21
  consulted by the Japanese Consul authorities in
 Shanghai.
           THE HONITOR: Strike off the first "no."
 24
 The enswer is not "no."
```

o If you still don't remember, I will point to you the last paragraph of the second telegram.

Does that refresh your mind? The last paragraph of the second telegram, on page 3 of that telegram. Do you recall anything?

A According to the telegram, it says that I was to be consulted after my return to Shanghai. But I cannot recall having been consulted about the proposed use of the Italian Concession by Wang Chingwei.

Q On which day did "ang Ching-wei leave Shanghai for Tokyo?

A I believe it must have been the 31st of May.

Q Why did you put in the affidavit that it was the beginning of June?

IR. ROBERTS: I submit that the affidavit, stating "at the beginning of June," is approximately the answer given, "the 31st of May," by the witness previously.

THE COMMISSIONER: If that be the fact, the witness is sufficiently alert to make the corrections himself without counsel having to do it for him.

Q Do you propose to make a correction in the affidavit?

A I request that the affidavit be left as it is

20 21 22

17

18

19

23

because I am not absolutely sure that it was the 31st of May, and there may be a difference of one or two
days: so, I stated in my affidavit, "at the beginning
of June." and I request that it he left as it is.
Q In exhibit "H" which was marked for identi-
fication yesterday may I hand that to you to help
your memory 1005-20?
(Thereupon, a document was handed
to the witness.)
A I have seen it.
Q Are you sure of that now?
A Now I am sure that it was the 31st of 14 y,
so I have no objection to the afficavit being cor-
rected from "the beginning of June" to "the 31st of
May."
Q I am not asking you to correct. I want to
ask you if you want to correct. It is up to you
whether you want to correct or not. What is your

- answer?
 - A Please correct the Cocument.
- Now, this telegram was sent by you through what is called the "DOWIKARA Agency." "hat is this "DOHIMARA Agency"?
 - A May I see the cocument again? JUDGE NYI: Exhibit "H."

(Thereupon, a document was handed to the witness.)

A (Continuing) The DOMINARA Agency was located in Shanghai. After Lieutenant General DOMINARA returned to Tokyo at the beginning of April, the office established there was continued to be called the DOMINARA Amency.

Q What are the functions of the DOHIHARA Agency? What was its mission?

IR. ROBERTS: I submit that that is immaterial and irrelevant and outside the scope of the examination.

THE COLLISSIONAL: It is not one of any of the three that you have mentioned. It is entirely relevant and is to be answered.

A The mission of Lieutenant General DOMINARA was unknown to me as Chief of the Military Affairs Section.

THE COMMISSIONER: That was not the question.

The question was, what were the functions of the

DOMINARA Agency? Will the witness please answer that
question.

Section of the War Ministry, I had no connection whatsoever with the mission of Lieutenant General DOWIMARA.

3

1

2

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Spratt & Yelden

Therefore, I do not know what the mission was.

Q You have many telegrams, more than one, relayed through what was called the DOHIHARA Agency.

A Yes, that is so.

THE COMMISSIONER: What functions was that agency performing at the time you had business with it?

Agency had already come to an end when I was in Shanghai, but I used their building. And since, if I did not use the name of the DOHIHARA Agency, I had no access to ciphers, so I had to use that name. But there is no relation whatsoever between the mission of the DOHIHARA Agency and my own mission. To amplify my explanation, I had no right to send the telegrams, so I was forced to use the name of the DOHIHARA Agency which did have this privilege.

Q Now you say that you know the DOHIHARA Agency ended. You must know what was their function.

MR. ROBERTS: I believe the witness has covered that, stating that they were simply using their building, the mission having ended.

THE COMMISSIONER: I prefer that the witness should answer the question.

A Since Lieutenant General DOHIHARA had returned

4

3

7

8

10

12 13

14 15

17

16

18

20

21

22

23

24

Q Were there other agencies -- Japanese arencies available through which you can send your telegram, for instance, consular authorities or the Japanese expeditionary forces? Why did you have to resort to the DOMINARA Agency?

A Because the building fernerly used by the DOHIHARA Agency was empty, I moved into that building, and I sent telegrams from there because it was the most convenient thing to do.

Q But you say DOMINARA Agoncy already ended.

A I entered their premises because their mission was at an end.

And why the name of DOHTMARA Agency still used in relaying your telegrams?

A Although Lieutenant General DOHIMAR had returned to Tokyo, his right to send telegrams still existed. In other words, his code address appeared in the table of eighers.

Q Didn't DONTHARA --

THE MONITON: Hold it, please.

A (Continuing) This may be somewhat difficult for anateurs to understand, but for cipher experts it is a simple matter.

THE MONITOR: In short, the name "DOHIHARA

Agency" remained in the code books, and that gave authority to send telegrams under that name in codes. That is why I used this DOHIHARA Agency code.

THE COMMISSIONER: Do you say then, witness, that at the time you speak of there was, in fact, no DOHIHARA Agency, that you merely used the premises which that agency had previously used, and that you merely used the code in the cipher book which that agency had used?

THE WITNESS: Yes, that is so.

Q According to your recollection, when did the DOHIHARA Agency end?

MR. ROBERTS: I object on the ground that it is immaterial and irrelevant and I believe that also has been fully covered.

THE COMMISSIONER: It is neither immaterial nor irrelevant; but if it has been fully covered, that would be sufficient. It may not have been. The witness may answer.

A I believe that Lieutenant General DOHIHARA returned at the end of April. After his departure there were a few members -- there were a few clerks who remained behind. I imagine that his mission came to an end when he returned to Tokyo.

THE COMMISSIONER: Have you finished with

that topic, Mr. Nyi?

JUDGE NYI: I still have some more to ask about that.

THE COMMISSIONER: Very well, then. We will adjourn for fifteen minutes.

(Whereupon, at 1050, a recess was taken until 1107, after which the proceedings were resumed as follows:

BY JUDGE NYI (Continued):

- Q Did you, witness, state that the functions of the DOHIMARA Asency were different from yours?
 - A There was no connection between the two.
- Q I'm asking you whether you have stated that the functions of the DOHIMERA A ency were different from yours.

THE COMMISSIONER: By that do you mean, did he state on some other occasion that they were different?

JUDGE MYI: Just before the recess.

THE COMMISSIONER: Very well.

A Since I do not know the mission of Lieutenant General DOHIHAMA, I cannot say whether it was the same as mine or different.

Q Did DOMINARA, around the 22nd or 23rd of April, send a telegram to the Vice-Minister or Vice-

3

1

5

7

10

11

12

14

15 16

17 18

19

20

21 22

23

2

3

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Chief -- Vice-Minister of "ar and Vice-Chief of the General Staff suggesting that Shanghai was not a favorable place for Mang Ching-wei?

CROSS

MR. ROBERTS: Just a minute.

THE COMMISSIONER: The question may not be put in that form; but it is a proper question if he is asked, do you know whether DOHIMARA sent such a telegram? Will the vitness please enswer it in that form.

THE WITHESS: No, I have no knowledge whatsoever of that.

Q Didn't he also suggest that Tsirgtao would be a better place?

THE COLLISSIONER: Please put the question more correctly. You can only ask him whether he knows whether he did such and such a thing.

- Q Do you know that?
- A I don't know.
- Q I show you that telegram and see if it will help you to remember.

(Whereupon, a document was handed to the witness.)

A I do not think it will be of any use to show me the telegram because, on the 23rd, I was either on board a ship or in Hanoi.

25

1	Q But you may have learned later. Do you re-		
1	call anything?		
2	A I have heard neither of the despatch nor of		
3	its contents.		
4	Q But you have learned the contents now,		
5	h ven't you?		
6	A I learned of the contents for the first time		
7	just now.		
8	THE COLLISSIONER: "ell, the document, having		
9	been tendered as an exhibit, had better be marked for		
0	identification. It will receive the letter "J" for		
1	that purpose.		
2	(Whereupon, the document above		
3	referred to was marked prosecution exhibit		
4	"J" for identification and is appended		
5	hereto.)		
6	Q Now, my question is, since you do not know		
7	what the DOMINARA Agency's function was, how it		
18	happened that DOMINARA is making suggestions was		
19	making such suggestions?		
20	MR. ROBERTS: I object to that because it		
21	calls for an opinion from this witness.		
22	JUDGE NYI: That's a fact.		
23	THE COLUMN THE Objection is entirely		
24	The our restrict the ways		

unsound. It asks for the witness' knowledge which is

not a matter of opinion.

A I have no knowledge whatsoever.

Q The telegram speaks for itself that his work was very similar to yours.

MR. ROBERTS: Just a minute, please.

THE COMMISSIONER: Er. Nyi, this telegram has been denied by the witness. It is not an exhibit, and you are not entitled to import its contents into the proceedings.

JUDGE NYI: I withdraw it.

Q Now, witness, do you have an organ called the "KAGESA Organ"?

A After ang returned to Shanghai from Tokyo in July, I opened the office commonly known as the KAGESA Agency.

Q Did you use it in any of the cocuments, the mame "KAGESA Organ"?

A I may have used the name.

Q So that it was not only commonly known, but it was adopted by yourself, was it?

A My organ had many names.

Q "hat are the other names?

A It was also known as Baikado and the Ume Agency.

Q Were they one and the same thing?

4

6

3

1

7

9

10

12 13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

A Yes.

Q Did your organ take care of any of the funds to finance the so-called Anti-Comintern National Salvation League?

A No, we did not do so.

Q Was it a fact that the funds for this -- to be supplied to this league shall be -- were appropriated from the surplus fund of the maritime customs revenue? Do you recall that?

A I have no knowledge of that Anti-Comintern National Salvation League. But, if you would give me an explanation of the functions, perhaps I could say more about it.

Q I am asking you. This is no occasion for you to ask me. Do you know that any funds were appropriated to the Wang Ching-wei Government on its formation in March, 1940?

THE HONITOR: From where? Any funds were appropriated from where?

JUDGE NYI: By the Japanese Government.

A In the form of a loan, funds were provided to Wang.

Q Was it a fact that forty million dollars were loaned to the Tang Ching-wei Government upon its

2

1

•

6

7

9

10

11 12

13 14

15

16

17

19

20

22

24

23

2

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14.

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

completion?

THE INTERPRETER: Dollars?

JUDGM NYI: Yes, Japanese yen. Forty million dollars -- yen.

A I believe that, by the time it was established, about that sum had already been loaned to him. However, I have no recollection of the accurate figure.

- Q Were you in charge of the details of the payment to be made to Wang Ching-wei?
 - A Yes, that is so.
- Q You just stated that you have many names for the organ -- KAGESA Organ, Ume Organ and Bakaido Organ. Why did you adopt so many names?
- A I first named it the "Bakaido." It means, house of the plum blossoms. The General Staff took -- from that name the General Staff began to call my office the "Ume Kikan" or Plum Agency. That was done entirely by the General Staff.
- Q Now, stop that. Thy w.s it necessary, if you are carrying a proper function, to have so many names besides "Bakaido" or "KAGESA Organ"? Did it ever come to your knowledge?

THE COMISSIONER: Did what ever come to his knowledge?

2

4

5

6

7

9

JUDGE NYI: Yes.

THE COMMISSION: I am asking you.

JUDGE NYI: What?

THE COMMISSIONER: You say, did it ever come to his knowledge? What do you mean by "it"?

JUDGE NYI: The necessity of adopting so many names.

THE COMMISSIONER: Very well.

You appear to have an objection, Mr.

Roberts.

MR. ROBERTS: I submit, the witness should not be stopped in the middle of an explanation as the prosecutor has just done.

THE COMMISSIONER: The prosecutor merely
14stopped to amplify the answering of his former ques15tion, as I judge it. But, if I should be at fault,
16then it is always open to you in redirect to allow the
17witness to add what you think he desired to add.

18 A That was the true nature of my mission, and should like to give an explanation here -- the true 20 ture of my agency. My agency was not under the 20 rders of myself.

THE MONITOR: No, no; slight correction:

you organ was not an organ belonging to one, KAGESA,

whyself, as an individual. My office consisted of

various el, ments, from the Army, the Navy, the Foreign Office, the China I ffairs Bureau, Members of Parliament and journalists. The members from the Army were under my orders.

Q You are not answering my question.

should be allowed to explain the Agency so that he will then give a correct picture of the matter you are developing. He must do it shortly, however.

A Because there were many elements which were under my command, I did not like to use the name "KAGESA Agency."

were not under my command did not like to refer to this organ as "MAGESA Organ." However, those under my command used the name "KAGESA Agency." Since it was necessary to use a name including all elements, I named it the "Bakaido." The General Staff called it the "Ume Agency" -- the Plum Agency. However, neither the Nevy nor the Foreign Office had any obligation to use the same name -- use the name "Ume Kikan" which was the name given by the General Staff Headquarters.

O Do these names other than "KAGESA" or the "KAGESA Organ" sound like nicknames?

2

3

6

3

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

A All of the names of the same value, and they are all common names -- commonly used names.

? Why did they find it necessary to send official despatches using such names?

A Official documents were the only telegrams sent by me to the army and telegrams sent by the naval officers there.

Q Under which government department did your agency fall?

A It belonged to various ministries, that is, the War Ministry, the Navy, the Foreign Office and the China Affairs Bureau.

Q Separately or jointly?

A Separately.

Q Separately? Who was responsible in the direction of affairs in your bureau -- in your agency?

A The responsibility was mine.

THE MOMITOR: The responsibility rested upon

18 me.

Q "as the Une Agency in charge of the direction of Wang Ching-wei Government after its formation?

21 A Simultaneously with the establishment of the 22 Wang Ching-wei Government, my organ was dissolved.

Q Was there also a Hatsu Kikan or Pine Agency?

A. Yes.

25

23

(End of reading.) THE PRESIDENT: We will recess for fif-teen minutes. (Whereupon, at 1445, a recess was taken until 1500, after which the proceed-ings were resumed as follows:) .20

MARSHAL OF THE COURT: The International
Military Tribunal for the Far East is now resumed.

THE PRESIDENT: Judge Hsiang.

JUDGE HSIANG (reading continued):

	Q Was there also a Take Kikan or a Bamboo		
2	Agency?		
3	A There well might have been.		
1	Q What were the functions of Matsu Kikan or		
5	Pine Agency?		
6	A I am well informed of the functions of the		
7	Ume Agency, but I do not know of the functions of other		
8	agencies.		
9	Q Who was the responsible member of the Pine		
0	Agency?		
1	A I have no recollection.		
12	Q Who was responsible member of the Take Kikan		
13	or Bamboo Agency?		
14	A It must have been a small agency. I do not		
15	recall its name.		
16	Q Do you have any liaison with these agencies?		
17	A No, I had no relations with them.		
18	Q Who was the President of the National Govern-		
19	ment at Chungking at the time when Wang Ching-wei		
20	formed his government?		
21	A Lin, Sung.		
22	Q De you know Wang Ching-wei once suggested		
23	that Lin. Sung should be named as the President of the		
24	ne government?		
25	A Yes, that is so.		

=

U

Q Was it done for the purpose of misleading
the people that the National Government had returned
to Nanking?

A We believed that at some future date the

A We believed that at some future date the Chungking Government would merge with the Nanking Government and make possible general peace. And, to prepare for that eventuality, we wished to name Lin, Sung as the President.

answer to the question. The question was whether that name was sought for the purpose of misleading people into thinking that the Wanking Government was the --

JUDGE NYI: The Chungking Government had come back.

THE COLLISSIONER: Yes, that that the Chungking Government had returned to Nanking. That was the question.

A (Continuing) No, that is not so.

Q So, according to the arrangement you have just stated, Wang Ching-wei would be the acting chairman or acting president, leaving the presidency vacant; was that right?

A Yes, until Er. Lin, Sung came to Nanking, Er. Was was to act as president -- was to be the acting president.

peace.

Q Now, on the top of page 16 of your affidavit you stated that the staff officers of the Japanese armies in China were opposed to the dissolution of the Renovation Government because it will give rise to difficult problems in the maintenance of public peace. Now, what would be these difficult problems?

A If both the Provisional and Renovation Governments were to be dissolved, the question of personnel of both governments would present a difficult problem. According to Chinese custom, if the head of one faction were to move, then his followers would create a disturbance. That would affect public

Now, you mentioned the Provisional Government. But, in your affidavit, you didn't say that. You only said, "the dissolution of the Renovation Government."

A That was a mistake. I meant only to refer to the Renovation Government.

Q And you intended to include that Provisional Government in the statement, too, although you didn't put it in, is that right?

A The Provisional Government and Renovation Government are entirely different things.

Q I didn't mean that. I say, you stated on

the affidavit that the dissolution of Renovation Government will cause difficulty.

THE COLMISSIONER: Hasn't the witness made it clear that, by Renovation Government, he really has made a mistake and intended to say "Provisional Government"? Could he be asked if that is what he meant?

Government," I meant "Renovation Government."

THE CONTISSIONER: Well, the affidavit says "Renovation Government." Does he then say that his affidavit does convey his real intention?

IR. ROBERTS: Ask him the question.

THE COLUMNICATION That is intended as a question.

THE WITNESS: That is so, yes.

Now, you referred to the interview between "ang Ching-wei and Prince KONOYE. How many interviews did "ang have with Prince KONOYE in Tokyo?

A Does that include all interviews with Prince MONOYE or hew many times he saw Prince KONOYE on this occasion?

Q Yes, during "ang's visit to Japan in June, 1939.

A Once.

2

1

5

4

7

8

9

10

11

13 14

> 15 16

17

18

20

21.

22

24

24

25

Only once. Now, when ir. Wang interviewed Prince KONOYE, how many people were present? On the Japanese side, myself and an interp-3 reter, Secretary SHIMIZU. The interview lasted for 4 one hour. After that everyone retired, and Prince 5 KONOYL and Mr. Wang spoke in private. 6 You say they talked without an interpreter; 7 was that right? 8 They spoke without interpreter for three 9 hours. 10 Now, SHIMIZU, in his affidavit -- do you know 11 that SHIMIZU, in his officavit numbered exhibit 2585, 12 stated that he was the interpreter? 13 A Yes, that is so. 14 Q How do you explain that? 15 THE COMMISSIONER: Put your question more 16 specifically. I am afraid I do not know what it is 17 you are asking him to enswer. 18 JUDGE MYI: Yes. 19 Since you say they talked without an interp-20 reter and SMINIZU says he was the interpreter during 21 the talk between these two statesmen, now, which is 22

A If both are read together, parallel, then the truth is revealed.

19

20

21

22

23

25

THE MONITOR: Those two statements combined would constitute the truth. To go into detail, that means that SHIMIZU acted as interpreter for one hour, and then he retired, and the two persons spoke for three hours.

- Q And when these two statesmen talked for three hours, you retired, too?
 - A Yes, I dic.
 - Q How do you know the contents of their talk?
- A I have stated in my affidavit that I do not know the details of the conversation.
- Q In your affidavit you say they talked without an interpreter and so you could not understand the contents in detail. That would be the --

THE COMMISSIONER: What part of the effidavit are you referring to, Mr. Nyi?

JUDGS NYI: Page 16, the second paragraph from the bottom.

THE COMMISSIONER: What is said there seems to be entirely consistent with what the witness is now saying: that, as he was not present, he does not know what they said.

JUDGE NYI: Yes. He said he was not present when they talked for three hours.

THE COLDISSIONER: Why take time cross-

2

3

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

examining upon it then?

In the second paragraph of your affidavit you say that they talked without any interpreter and you did not understand, but it seems to you that the interview Mr. Wong had was inspired with much courage and hope to the future of the peace movement.

THE COLLISSION T: Perhaps I can help you. "hat counsel wants to know is this: If you were not present and did not hear what they said, how do you know that what took place inspired Fr. "ang with courage and hope as to the future of the peace movement?

THE WITNESS: I heard that from Mr. "ang.

- Afterwards?
- A Yes.
- But the affidavit dowsn't look that way. THE COLLISSIONER: Well, that is a matter of construction.
- Now, on page 20 of your affidavit, near the bottom you mention the inauguration of the new government at Manking on Larch 30, 1940.

THE MONITOR: "hat number paragraph is that, Mr. Ny1?

> THE COMMISSION A: Paragraph 16. THE HOMITOR: The end of 16?

	JUDGE MYI: Just the last four lines from	
the botton.		
	IT. ROBERTS: The next to the last para-	
graph	the date March 30 used there.	
9	JUDGE NYI: The last paragraph on this page;	
the seco	ond paragraph of Section 16.	
one seco	THE MONITOR: All right, sir. Will you	
aontinus	e, please.	
	My question is, did you attend the inaugura-	
ů		
tion cer		
4-	Yes, I did.	
Q	Did you go to Nanking the day before the	
	ation ceremony from Shanghai?	
A	I went to Nanking about one week before the	
inaugur		
Q	Do you recall that there was some dispute	
about t	he pennant of the national flag, and you were	
very mu	ch displeased about it?	
A	Yes, I do.	
Q	And you protested very strongly to I'r. Wang	
	ei because the pennant was not wide enough;	
	t right?	
A A	- nothing	
	kind occurred. No, I did not have any dispute	

with Mr. "ang.

Q You just said, before I asked you the last question, that you remembered there was.

A Yes, there was -- a problem did arise, but there was no dispute -- no argument.

Q How did this problem come up? Was it the fact that you made a representation?

A No, that is not so.

Q How did that question come up?

A When Mr. Wang came to Japan it was promised that triangular pennant would be affixed on top of the Chinese national flag. But, at the inauguration ceremony, the pennant was not in accordance with the promise previously given. So, from the Japanese side, it was -- the Japanese side asked me to request that the pennant be affixed in accordance with the previous agreement. I took this matter up with Mr. Chow Fu-hai, and it was immediately settled.

Q Was it a fact that Wang Ching-wei had apologized to you officially for that?

A No, that is entirely untrue.

Q Did he apologize to the expeditionary forces headquarters and to the expeditionary fleet headquarters? Do you know that?

A No that is not so. I acted as intermediary, and everything was settled.

market.

1

2

3

13 14

15

16

17 18

19

20 21

22

1	Q Do you mean to say that you didn't know
1	whether such an apology was offered or you know that
2	it was definitely not offered?
3	A I mean to say that no apology was made.
4	Q Did you go with Mr. Wong Ching-wei to North
5	China after coming back from Tokyo?
6	THE MONITOR: Who came back from Tokyo, "ang?
7	JUDGM NYI: Wang.
8	A Yes, I did. I went directly from Tokyo to
9	North China.
10	Q Whom did he see in North China?
11	A Hr. Thing saw the head of the Provisional
12	Government, Mr. Mang, Keh-ming, and General TADA,
13	commander of the Japanese forces there. He saw only
14	those two persons.
15	THE COLLISSIONER: You have a number of
16	questions on this phase, I assume, have you?
17	JUDG: NYI: Yes.
18	THE CONTISSION R: Very well. We will ad-
19	thinty.
20	("hereupon, at 1200, a recess was
21	
22	uakone,

AFT RMOON SASSION

The Commission met, pursuant to recess, et 1335.

S A D A A K I K A G E S A, called as a witness on behalf of the defense, resumed the stand and testified through Japanese interpreters as follows:

CROSS-BYALINATION

BY JUDGE NYI (Continued):

Q Before the recess I was asking you about Wang's visit to North China. You told me that he saw the mayor, "ang, Keh-ming and the General TADA.

Do you know what topics they discussed in their interviews?

A In the interview with Tang, Meh-ming I was not present. In the interview with General TADA, no interpreters were used. Therefore, I do not know what was said between the two. They spoke in Chinese. Therefore, I do not know in details the contents of the conversation. However, I heard from Mr. Mang later that he spoke to General TADA about the Central Government which was to be established later and reached certain understandings.

.

Q was one of the important topics discussed the amalgamation of the two existing regimes and the Renovation Government?

A I believe that this was one of the topics, but that is my guess only.

Q "as this done in accordance with the views of General TADA -- of General ITAGAKI who said that the personnel of the two governments should be given consideration?

A No. That was not so. As far as the personnel problems of both regimes, this was left strictly up to Mr. Wang alone and his policy.

- Q Did he discuss it with Mr. Wang, Keh-ming?

 THE INTERPRETER: Personnel question?

 JUDGE NYI: Yes, about it.
- A I suppose they did.

Q You have put in your affidavit that General ITAGAKI told Mr. Wang that the personnel of the two existing regimes should be given consideration, and you also stated this morning that the dissolution of the existing government will give rise to difficulties in the maintenance of peace. And my question is, do you know if anything of that kind, that is, the placing of this personnel of the dissolved governments, was discussed between these two individuals?

3

1

4 5

6

7 8

9

10

12 13

14

15 16

17

18 19

20

22

23

Do you mean in conversation with General TADA? With Mr. Wang, Keh-ming. Q 3 I imagine that such problems were discussed. A Do you know it very positively? Q I have not heard anything about it at all. A 6 I just imagined that such things took place. 7 Did Mr. Wang never tell you anything about 8 that? 9 He has intimated to me that personnel 10 problem would constitute a very serious problem. 11 THE COMMISSIONER: The question was whether 12 he told you he had discussed it with General TADA. 13 JUDGE NYI: Mr. Wang, Keh-ming. 14 THE COMMISSIONER: That was the question. 15 That's exactly what I 16 THE WITNESS: Yes. I imagined that Mr. Wang, Keh-ming and Mr. 17 Wang Ching-wei spoke -- conversed about the personnel 18 problem, and later, after the conversation, Mr. Wang 19 20 Ching-wei told me that this personnel problem will 21 constitute a problem of considerable difficulty. 22 And that was done in accordance with the 23 views expressed by General ITAGAKI, is it? 24 No, that is not so. General ITAGAKI just 25 stated -- just said that the dissolution of their

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

21

22

23

24

25

Renovation Government would constitute a peace maintenance problem, and Mr. Wang Ching-wei did not converse with Mr. Wang, Keh-ming on the basis of what General ITAGAKI said.

But the effect of it was the same, was it?

Why? A

Q It reached the same purpose, did it? THE COMMISSIONER: Mr. Nyi, is there any advantage in pursuing this? You have specific answers from the witness upon it. You are now merely speculating, taking time and getting no facts that will help the Court in any way that I can see.

JUDGE NYI: I will withdraw it.

Did you go to Tsingtao with Mr. Wang Chingwei when he met the leaders of the existing regimes in January, 1940?

Yes.

Now, what was your purpose of going there? Q

19 The purpose of my going -- the purpose for my having gone to that place was that I was to explain the circumstances under which Central Government was born, and other matters concerning the establishment of the Central Government to the people coming from North China, and also to the newspaper reporters and other such concerned people.

9 Did you also play the part or the role of the mediator between Mr. Wang on the one side and the 1 two leaders -- the leaders of the two existing re-2 gimes on the other? 3 A No. Everything was conducted by Mr. Wang himself. You stated on page 20 of the affidavit that there was no organ or mechanism to guide the Wang Ching-wei Government. THE INTERPRETER: What paragraph No. is that? 9 JUDGE NYI: The paragraph before 17. 10 THE COMMISSIONER: Please go on to your 12 question and let us see whether all this waste of 13time is justified. What is the question that you are 14going to ask? JUDGE NYI: My question was, what were the ifunctions of the Ko-4-In? THE COMMISSIONER: Well, you can ask that Question without taking time by reference to his Affidavit.

The function of the China Affair Board mainly was to decide on the Japanese policy regarding politics, economy and cultural matters.

Q Did it have four liaison offices in China direct affairs of the new regimes?

A The China Affair Board wa	s not an organ
which directed or led anybody.	
Q You haven't answered my	question.
A Please, may I have the qu	
THE CONTRISSIONER: Are yo	
people, officers?	
JUDGE NYI: Offices.	
(Thereupon, the the	official court
reporter read the question r	eferred to as
follows:)	
"Q Did it have four lia	ison offices in
China to direct affairs of the ne	
A What do you mean by "new	
Q Regimes which were exist	
Provisional Government, Renovation	n Government and,
afterwards, the Central Governmen	
A That is why I answered p	
China Affair Board had no authors	
to lead or guide Chinese affairs	
nese government or regimes.	
Q Was it also true that th	ne Ume Organ was also
directing affairs of the new reg	imes?
A No, the Ume Agency has	
In fact, the Wang Government dire	ected the affairs

in fact, Mr. Wang, himself, directed the Ume Agency,

Y .

```
if anything. And, as I have stated before, after the
    establishment of the Wang Government, the Une Agency
1
    was dissolved.
2
        ? Did you say that Wang was directing the af-
3
   fairs of the Unic Ligency?
            THE COLHISBIONER: That question need not
   be answered. It is quite unnecessary.
7
           Did you not say in the affidavit that
   advisors -- the Japanese advisors acted as a medium
  between the "eng Government and the Japanese side in
  the prosecution of the policies?
       A I have not said anything of that sort.
11
12
       Q You didn't say that?
13
          This COMMISSIONAR: If he did say it, Mr.
141 yi, is it necessary to ask him if he did say it?
15You can, at an appropriate time, draw attention to
161t. But, surely it is unnecessary to ask him whether
17 he did or did not say something which, presumably,
nd asserted in his affidavit. That is just time-
consuming.
20
         JUDGE HYI: Yes. Your Honor, I was just
trying to ascertain whether there would be misunder-
standing of the part which he put in the affidavit
 ich seemed to be contradictory to a previous
```

paragraph in the same afficavit.

THE COM ISSIONER: That is rather the function of counsel in summation and not in cross-examination. If he has made contradictory statements, then you can comment upon it at an appropriate time.

JUDGE HYI: Thank you, sir.
BY JUDGE HYI (Continued):

Q Do you know that there was an understanding between the No-A-In and the Foreign Linistry that you should be posted in China to direct affairs of the new regimes?

A That is not true at all.

Q Hay I show you a document -- this is a report of the conversation between SUZUKI of the Ko-A-In and the Foreign Hinistry. That's document 1005-17. It is quite a long document, but a glance over this second paragraph will, perhaps, refresh your memory.

(Thereupon, a document was handed to the witness.)

THE COLLISSIONER: What you refer to is in the paragraph number 2, is it?

JUDGL HYI: Yos.

? (Continuing) Do you recall anything of that sort?

7

6

9

10

1

2

3

11

14

13

16

18

19

21

22 23

.....

A If Political Affairs Bureau Chief SUZUKI says these things, he was wrong. I was never posted in China for the direction of affairs of the Chinese regime. Now, just presuming, from the qualifications, it is rather -- it is unthinkable that a person with my qualifications and in my position -- in my low position should direct a big man like Mr. Wang.

Q Do you recall some people proposing to post MATSUOKA, the deceased, to direct it, and SUZUKI thought it is enough to have you there? .

A No, I have never heard of that. If I had known that, I would gladly have relinquished my post to Mr. NATSUOKA.

JUDGE MYI: Your Honor, may I have this document, 1005-17, marked for identification?

THE COMMISSIONER: You may. It will be marked with the letter "K" for identification.

("hereupon, the document above referred to was marked prosecution exhibit "K" for identification and is appended hereto.)

Q Do you know a man by the name of TSUDA,
Shizue who was the President of the Shanghai Liaison
Office of Ro-A-In?

A Yes, I know him.

*

Was it true that you and the Liaison Office 2 of Ko-A-In in Shanghai were fighting over the nemi-3 nation of Japanese advisers to the new regime? Advisers were appointed to the post by invitation of Mr. Wang. The advisers did not compete to be appointed to that post. Do you recall that there was any dispute between you and the Ke-A-In office in Shanghai over this topic? This ouestion, you say? Yes, about the nomination of Japanese advisers in new regime. The appointments of advisers were left completcly up to the recommendation by Mr. Wang. Now, may I show you a document which was a telegram from KATO in Shanghai to Foreign Minister ARITA, document 1814-7. Excuse me, the number should be 1814-D. (Whereupon, a document was handed to the witness.) MR. ROBERTS: Has the witness been asked if he can identify that document? THE COMMISSIONER. There is no need to ask him that at all. He has been tendered a document and

asked to examine it to see whether it will refresh

24

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

his memory on some particular matter.

A This question is an entirely different matter from the natter that has been discussed a while ago. This problem involves the proposal which was submitted to the effect that the lower rank advisers of the Renovation Regime be employed as advisers to the new regime; and the answer was given that all appointments of advisers should be left to Mr. Wang's initiative and, therefore, the request refused.

ther, the witness is referring now to the document in his hends. Does he say that he knows or did know of that telegram?

THE WITNESS: No, I have not.

Q You have stated on page 22, the third line from the top, that there were temptations on the part of the advisers to interfere with the Nanking Government.

A Yas.

Q Since there was the presence of such a temptation, are you sure that they did not at all yield to any of them?

IR. ROBERTS: May we have the line pointed out that you are referring to, doctor.

JUDGE HYI: The third line from the top,

2

1

5

6

8

9

11

12

14

15

16

17 18

19

20 21

22

23 24

page 22.

THE COMMISSIONER: From what page are you reading?

JUDGE NYI: 22, third line from the top.
THE COLLISSIONER: Thank you.

A I do not know about the advisors heretofore, how they acted, whether they interfered or not; but I do know that the Japanese, as a race, has the habit of interfering into other people's business. That is what I pointed out in the national character -- that is what I pointed out in the affidavit.

Q On page 23, the 7th or 8th line from the top, you mention that there was even an anti-"ang Government atmosphere in Japan.

A That is so.

Q Was it due to the fact that the Wang Government served too much as a tool to the Japanese Army to the exclusion of the interests of the Japanese people so that there was dissatisfaction in some quarters among the Japanese?

A That is completely opposite to the actual reasons for the antipathy.

Q Were the negotiations which you and IMAI carried on with the representatives of Wang Ching-wei referred to by the Army as the WATANABE

1 2

4

3

5

7 8

5

10

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

20

21

23

Plan?

A I do not remember as to the name.

Q "as not one of the principal terms of this plan that Japan was to take possession of the natural resources of North China?

A I do not have any recollection about this WATANABE Plan. However, the results of the negotiation between Wang's representative and myself and IMAI on this side is virtually the same as the announcement made by Prince KONOYE on 22 of December, 1938.

Q Did the plans finally agreed upon between you and IIMI and the representatives of Wang Chingwei include the provision that Japan was to take possession of the natural resources of North China?

A Is the negotiation that you are referring to the negotiations conducted in November of 1938?

Q Between you and IMAI and the representatives of ang Ching-wei on various occasions.

A That did not take place. We only not them once in the fall of 1938.

Q All right. Granting that this was the only meeting that you had with representatives of wang Ching-wei, was it one of the terms that Japan was to take possession of the natural resources of North

10.000	4	- 25			752
C	-			-	•
	n	-	n	•	
•					

A I do not remember the contents of the negetiations. However, if you will judge the contents of this conversation to be the same as Prince KONOYE's statement made on 22 of December, 1938, you will be right. I have stated that in my affidavit.

Q Was Ken INUKAI with you in Shanghai when you met with the representatives of Wang Ching-wei?

A Yes, he was.

Q And did you and INUKAI, on or about December 21, 1938, have an interview with Prince KONOYE?

A I do not remember. However, I have frequently met Prince KONOYE.

Q Did you not report to Prince KONOYE on that occasion that the secret plot in China would be a success?

A I do not even remember that INUKAI and I, myself, met the Prince -- KONOYE. Therefore, I don't recall at all what conversation took place. Consequently, I do not know what you mean by "secret plot."

JUDGE NYI: This will conclude my crossexamination, sir.

THE COMMISSIONER: Mr. Nyi, there were a number of telegrams, tendered by you to the witness,

1

5

3

4

7

8

9

11

12 13

14

15

16

18

19

20

22 23

24

1 2 3

4 5

6

8

10

12

15 16

14

18

19

17

20

23 24

22

25

given marks for identification only, but the contents of which have been discussed with the witness. It seems to me that those should, in fact, be exhibits, and I will so regard them unless you have some reason to the contrary.

JUDGE NYI: Will your Honor just give us one minute for consultation?

MR. KATO: I would like to say a word, Mr. Commissioner.

THE COMMISSIONER: Is this the witness?
THE MONITOR: Counsel, sir.

THE COMMISSIONER: Yes. I still have to hear from the prosecution.

JUDGE NYI: Your Honor, we have, in addition to those already tendered, a number of other telegrams which, taken together, will build up a more continuous story of how this witness participated in the Wang Ching-wei activities. We think it will not break the continuity if we bring them all together at a later stage. However, if your Honor thinks fit to have them put in now, we are ready to do so.

THE COMMISSIONER: I understand counsel for the defense desires to say something upon this.

MR. ROBERTS: It is the request of the defense that we be furnished with the appropriate

copies in Japanese and English.

THE COMMISSIONER: I was not referring to you, Mr. Roberts. One of the Japanese counsel indicated that he desired to be heard.

IR. ROBERTS: He had a question on redirect. THE COLMISSIONER: Oh, is that what he was referring to?

MR. ROBERTS: Yes.

THE COMMISSIONER: I misunderstood. Very well. The documents marked for identification from "B" to "H," inclusive, are admitted as exhibits and will be attached to this deposition. When they are processed, the English translation must conform to the modifications recommended by the Language Arbiters.

(Whereupon, prosecution exhibits "B" to "H," inclusive, for identification were received in evidence and are appended hereto.)

JUDGE NYI: Your Honor, in exhibit "C" and "E" -- in each of these there are three telegrams, and they were marked "C-1, 2, 3" and "E-1, 2, 3."

May we have it understood that they will be marked separately?

THE COMMISSIONER: They will be marked as

they have already been marked here.

JUDGE NYI: Your Honor, I must ask your tolerance in making a correction. What I meant to say is that "C-1, 2, 3" is one document, and this will be given one number; and "E-1, 2, 3" also in the one, same document, and they will be given one number.

THE COMMISSIONER: That is entirely a matter of administration for the Court. And, as each of them contains three separate documents, it is much more convenient that they should be so marked for reference in examination later.

JUDGE NYI: Do we understand that these documents will be, for the present, attached to the records, and it will be read at the time when the records will be read in the Tribunal?

THE COMMISSIONER: They will be processed and attached to the deposition which will accompany my report as Commissioner.

JUDGE NYI: And it will be read.

THE COLMISSIONER: Please. I can see no reason why they should not be read the same as any other part of the evidence tendered here. But, if there be any question upon that, that is a matter which must be determined by the Tribunal and not by

me as Commissioner. JUDGE NYI: That is satisfactory. We desire to ask this to be read in the record. THE COMMISSIONER: That application, if necessary, can be made to the Tribunal. JUDGE NYI: Thank you sir." (End of reading) THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Roberts.

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

MR. ROBERTS (Reading):

THE COMMISSIONER: I understand one of the Japanese counsel, or perhaps more, desires to ask questions in reexemination.

MR. ROBERTS: Yes.

MR. KATO: I am KATO, Takahisa, counsel for the accused DOHIHARA. I would like to ask one question to the witness.

THE COMMISSIONER: Please do so.

REDIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. KATO:

Q This morning you stated, Mr. Witness, that while you were in Hanoi in April 22 or 23 of 1939 and after Lieutenant General DOHIHARA had returned to Tokyo, that DOHIHARA --

THE COMMISSIONER: Are you having difficulty in translating the question?

THE MONITOR: Yes, sir. I will try to translate it as he said.

THE COMMISSIONER: No. It would be much more

22

23 24

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

satisfactory if counsel would frame his question more simply. With faulty translation, we are in danger of misunderstanding.

Q This morning the prosecution stated that on or about 22 or 23 of April, 1939, while the witness was in Hanoi, and after DOHIHARA had returned to Tokyo, someone sent a telegram to Japanese Military Affairs Bureau through the code system of DOHIHARA's Agency that Shanghai was not a safe place for the establishment of Wang Regime.

JUDGE NYI: Your Honor, I wish to make -THE COMMISSIONER: The question is not yet
completed.

Q (Continuing) Now, Mr. Witness, who do you think sent this telegram?

A I do not know whether that telegram was sent er not.

THE MONITOR: I do not even know about the fect that it was sent.

MR. KATO: Thank you. I understand.

THE COMMISSIONER: Are there any other
Japanese counsel?

MR. ROBERTS: No. I have just two or three questions on redirect.

THE COMMISSIONER: No other Japanese counsel

desires to ask questions in redirect?

MR. ROBERTS: No. That is correct.

REDIRECT EXAMINATION (Continued)

BY MR. ROBERTS:

Q Mr. KAGESA, on page 18 of your affidavit you refer to a New Year's statement of Wang Ching-wei en January 1, 1940. Was that a written or an oral statement?

THE COMMISSIONER: Where is that?

MR. ROBERTS: Page 18.

THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you.

The duestion surely doesn't call for that length of a reply. He was asked whether it was verbal or written. He can answer that.

MR. ROBERTS: Yes.

THE MONITOR: I will translate as far as he has said, sir.

A I read this New Year's statement in the Chinese newspaper while I was in Nanking. However, at present there is no Chinese text of this New Year's statement. This is a translation of the section entitled "General Peace". In the book entitled "Road to General Peace", there is a translation of this speech -- Japanese translation of this speech.

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

23

24

-

Q I show you this document and ask you if that
is the book you now refer to.

(Whereupon, a document was handed
to the witness.)

A That is so.

MR. ROBERTS: I ask that this be marked

for identification, if your Honor please.

THE COMMISSIONER: It will be marked for

THE COMMISSIONER: It will be marked for identification with a letter "L".

Q Mr. KAGESA, did Wang Ching-wei consider Chiang Kei-shek as the real representative of the Chinese people in the spring of 1939?

JUDGI NYI: I object.

THE COMMISSIONER: Before that is answered, it is obvious he cannot tell us what anybody thought. He can only tell us what people said. Please frame the question in that form. Will you please reframe your question, Mr. Roberts?

MR. ROBERTS: Yes, your Honor.

Q Did Wang Ching-wei tell you in the spring of 1939 whether or not he considered Chiang Kai-shek as a real representative of the Chinese people?

A Yes.

Q Will you tell us what he told you in that conversation.

13

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

14 15

16

18

19

20

22

23

JUDGE NYI: Your Honor, as far as we can recall, this does not arise in the course of the cross-examination.

THE COMMISSIONER: I think that is a proper objection, but I am not disposed to exclude the question. However, if you desire, you may further cross-examine upon it.

A Weng Ching-wei said that the people of China, deep in their hearts, all really desired peace. And he further stated, however, it was regrettable that Chiang Kai-shek was not able to exercise his ewn initiative and to act according to his own will because of the fact that the communistic influence in Chungking Government was too strong.

THE COMMISSIONER: How much further, Mr. Roberts, do you contemplate this will go? This is now going very much further.

MR. ROBERTS: This answer is all I require on this topic.

THE COMMISSIONER: You have already had the answer to your question?

MR. ROBERTS: Yes, your Honor.

THE COMMISSIONER: Very well. Please tell the witness that is as much as his counsel desires.

THE MONITOR: Shell I translate what he

KAGES ..

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

said -- the last part, sir?

THE COMMISSIONIA: I do not think it is necessary.

THE MONITON: All right.

BY IR. ROBERTS (Continued):

In the telegram of May 3, exhibit "F," the prosecutor referred you to a paragraph No. 4 and particularly to the third sentence concerning a plan to weit outside Shanghai.

THE COLLISSIONAR: Which document is this? IR. ROBERTS: Exhibit "F."

- (Continuing) Does that reference to the plan to wait refer to the terrorists as suggested by the prosecutor or to Wang to wait outside Shanghai?
 - A Wang himself.

IT. ROBERTS: That is all.

THE CONTISSIONER: Does the prosecution desire to further cross-examine upon that one question which had relation to Wang's observations concerning Chiang Kai-shek?

JUDGE NYI: I do not desire to recrossexamine him on the point of Wang's comment on General Chiang Kai-shek, but I do want to cross-examine him as to the 1:st question ir. Roberts has put regarding the plan.

20

21 22

23

KAGESA

THE COMMISSIONFR: I am sorry. I cannot permit that. Your cross-examination is concluded.

That, then, concludes these proceedings.

There will be a report of these proceedings prepared and filed in the office of the Secretariat of
the Tribunal.

(Whereupon, at 1450, the proceeding was concluded.)

THE PRESIDENT: Judge Hsiang. 1 JUDGE HSIANG: If it please the Tribunal, I will now read the exhibits which were offered and 3 received in evidence and appended to exhibit No. 2721 4 the report of the Commissioner, the Honorable Justice 5 Northcroft. (Reading): 6 "Exhibit B 7 "IPS DOCUMENT NO. 1005-(4) 8 "SECRET TELEGRAM NO. 987 9 "Drafted - April 19, 1939 - Dispatched - 0750 10 Arrived - 1547. "To: The Chief of General Affairs Section. 12 "From: The Chief of Steff of the Taiwan 13 14 Army. "HANOI TELEGRAM NO. 50 15 "From Kawamura (T.N. Code Term for KAGESA) to 16 17 SHIRAISHI. 18 "Finished commercial dealings. Everything is favorable. Refer to the TSUCHIDA TELEGRAM for detail. 19 20 Answer. 21 "Moreover, inform TSUCHIDA beforehand not to 22 reproduce and distribute that telegram. " 23 Exhibit C-1:

"IPS DOCUMENT 1005-(6)

"Chief of Section TSUCHIDA (Written in blue

24

pencil)." I will omit this.

"Confidential Telegram dispatched at 1600 April 20, arrived at 1650.

"To: Chief of General Affairs Section,
"From: Chief of Staff of the Formosan Army.
"Formosan Telegram Extra
"Hanoi Telegram No. 51
"Kagesa Telegram No. 2.

"We wish to retract the part of the Hanoi
Telegram No. 50, requesting you to refer to the Foreign
ministry telegram. It is our desire that you be informed of the results of the operation by the present
telegram.

"(1) I visited Wang in his hide-out on the 18th with INUKAI and YANO, and had about a three hours talk with them. They said that, as they were already well informed of the details through "KO", they would prefer discussing the main problems at once. They made known to us their firm determination (the results, they trusted to Providence, and our absolute good will, they fully appreciated) and informed us of their desire to remove, as soon as possible, to a place safe and convenient for the development of their activities. They considered this to be a prerequisite condition.

"Thus, after various considerations, it has

been decided that the French authorities should be entirely responsible for the protection, etc. in regard to the escape; that a French steamship should be chartered to carry him safely to a hide-out in the French Concession in Shanghai; that Japan should previously prepare two or three hide-outs in the International Settlement; and that the Japanese policemen from the Shanghai Municipal Council should be placed around them to keep guard.

"In this connection I shall request the authorities of the Foreign Ministry to send appropriate instructions to Shanghai to immediately prepare suitable hide-outs in the International Settlement and to take necessary measures for the protection. (As regards protection, it is believed advisable to secretly contact Vice-Inspector General AKAGI and have Japanese policemen guard him after his arrival.)

"Furthermore, it is Wang's desire that you take proper measures so that he may pass the quarantine procedure incognito.

"(2) ITO, who is here at present, shall be sent immediately to Shanghai. IWAI shall establish connection with SLIKI and take part in the preparations mentioned in the foregoing paragraph.

"(3) The "Hokko Maru" shall sail directly to

,

,

INUKAI, KAGESA, YANO, OSUZU and others shall be on board the ship. You are requested to make YAMASHITA (T.N.Steamship Co.) understand.

Shanghai while secretly escorting Wang's steamer.

"(4) Von Forenhoben /Phonetic/ (730 tons)
has been decided to be the French steamer mentioned
above. The time of departure from French Indo-China
and the time of arrival in Shanghai have not yet been
decided so you shall be informed later."

Exhibit C-2.

"Chief of Section TSUCHIDA

"Confidential Telegram dispatched at 1600,
April 20, arrived at 1650.

"To: Chief of General Affairs Section
"From: Chief of Staff of the Formosan Army
"Formosan Telegram No. 996
"Hanoi Telegram No. 52
"Kagesa Telegram No. 3

"1. The hide-out in the French Concession mentioned in the previous telegram is of a temporary nature and it is our intention to remove them to hide-outs in the International Settlement as soon as possible. As regards preparation of houses in three places requested in the previous telegram, it is his desire that you make the following considerations.

KAGESA

"A. All the windows of the houses shall be
covered tightly with bullet-proof sheets of iron (the
upper half to be made so that they can be folded down
at any time)
"B. Small peeping windows shall be made in
these iron sheets, the outside of which shall be
covered with screens.
"C. Iron grilles that can be opened and
closed shall be installed in various places in the
houses.
"D. It would be suitable if one of the
houses will be provided in the Hongkew district and
two in the south of the Soochow Creek district (one
of them near the extension)."
Exhibit C-3.
"Chief of Section TSUCHIDA
"Confidential Telegram dispatched at 1600,
April 20, arrived at 1655.
"To: Chief of General Affairs Section
"From: Chief of Staff of the Formosan Army

"Hanoi Telegram No. 53

"Formosan Telegram No. 997

"Kagesa Telegrem No. 4

"1. As a result of further working, the following corrections shall be made in Kagesa telegram

.

7 8

No. 2 so you are requested to take measures for previous preparations. (Definite decision shall be made after deliberation with French authorities here.)

"A. When the French Steemship arrives at Shanghai (scheduled for the end of this month), the Japanese authorities and Wang's comrades shall be together in charge of the method of disembarkation and transportation of passengers who later boarded the ship.

"B. If possible, it would be convenient if he could be taken directly to the hide-out mentioned in the previous telegram and not to the French Concession in Shanghai.

"2. As ITO and Sergeant-major KAWASHIMI will be sent to Shanghai first, the Army is requested to let the Japanese Agency in Shanghai deliberate with these two persons." Exhibit D:

"IPS Document 1005-(8)

"Sent from Honoi P.M. 24 April 1939

"Arrived at this office P.M. 24 /pril 1939

"To: Foreign Minister ARITA.

"No. 93

"From: KAWAMURA

"The negotiation is completed and plans for loading the cargo (T.N. Code Term for Wang Ching-wei) have already been arranged. Moreover, as the situation does not permit any change in the plans, we wish to carry it out according to the fixed schedule.

"In this connection you are requested to demand an immediate completion of preparations for at least one of the warehouses in Osaka (T.N. Code Term for Shanghai); and you are also requested to take charge of preparations for its unloading.

"May 6th has been decided for the arrival of the cargo in Osaka."

Exhibit E-1:

"April 29, 1939.

"The Eighth Section, Army Department, Imperial Headquarters.

"1. The telegram received at 4 p.m., the 28th: "I have been successful in establishing liaison

6

1

3

4

5

8

9

11

12

13

15 16

17

18 19

20

21

22

with Wang. Accordingly, the course of our ship (Note: the Hokko-Maru of the Yamashita Shipping Co.) has been changed from Takao to Keelung. (Vill arrive there at 8:00 P.M. the 30th) Further destination will be decided later. I hope YAMAMOTO, who is at present at Taihoku, will also change his destination and go to Keelung.

"(Signed) KAGESA."

Exhibit C-2:

"2. The telegram received at 4:50 P.M. on the 28th:

"It seems that wang is somewhere near Hongkong, although not quite certain. I have been successful in establishing liaison with him and have been informed of his safety. (At 1:00 p.m., 28th)

"Please communicate this to all authorities concerned.

"(Signed) KAGESA."

Exhibit E-3:

"3. To Colonel USUI, from Major HARUKI.

"April 30: Dispatched 1030; Received 1310.

"I have received your telegram No. 307.

"Preparations for landing and guard of the residence etc. will be completed with the help of the officials concerned by the evening of the 4th, at the

3

1

6

8

9

10

12

13 14

16

15

17

13

19

20

22

23 24

4

6

8

10

11

13 14

15

18 19

20

17

21 22 23

24

latest, and it is going on satisfactorily with utmost secrecy. It will be very convenient for me if I shall be informed of the approximate time of arrival off Woosung, since I hope to establish liaison directly with KAWAMURA (T.N. Code Term for KAGESA) prior to landing."

Exhibit F:

"IPS Document 1005-(13)

"Confidential, Top Secret Telegram.

"Dispatched 1900 Hrs. May 3rd.

"Received 2055 Hrs. May 3rd.

"To Chief of General Affairs Department.

"From Chief of Staff of Formosan Army.

"Formosan Army Telegram No. 98.

"From KAGESA to Chief of the 2nd Department.

"1. Veng and his suite will arrive at the 'Pilot Station', Shanghai Port, at 10.00 A.M. on the 6th, in accordance with the pre-arranged plan which I have notified to you recently.

"2. 'Wang is naturally anticipating, after his arrival in Shanghai, a battle of telegrams with Chiang, and also terroristic opposition. Accordingly, he wishes to set himself up as soon as possible at the place most convenient for his various activities.'

"3. Therefore, when Yang and suit arrive at

Shanghai, they shall stay for the time being in a house prepared in Shanghai. We have to rely upon Japanese Navy or Army or some other well organized power for guard and protection.

"4. It is reported that Japanese terrorists have been sent from Tokyo to Shanghai for the above purpose. But, considering the bitter experiences in the past, I wish you would absolutely stop the plan. It may seem a good plan to wait in some place outside Shanghai until the preparation for guard and protection by Ting Me Tsun will be finished, but I don't believe it will be finished within two or three months, in view of the general situation.

"5. Weng has the intention to call together all his followers (about 30 people) in order to decide the future negotiation plan. Taking the situation in Shanghai into consideration, Wang is also contemplating to hire a Japanese ship and, leaving China for about a month, hold a conference on board the ship while cruising around Singapore, Manila, etc.

"6. According to my (KAGESA's) opinion, the Japanese ship available at present cannot accommodate so many people as thirty and it is necessary to prepare another ship. Therefore, I ask the General Staff Headquarters to study the matter."

3

4

6

7

8

Exhibit G:

"IPS Document 1005 (19)

"Confidential and Top Secret Telegram.

"Sent 1630 May 23rd.

"Arrived 1600

"Original Telegram: Central China No. 249.

"From: DOIHARA AGENCY

"To: The Chief of General Affairs Section

"From: Colonel KAGESA

"1. Mr. Yang Ching-wei will dispatch messengers to Peking and Nanking before long with his confidential letters to the Provisional and Renovation Governments and to Wu Pai-Fu, explaining the reasons for his action and requesting their cooperation.

"2. The above is simed at dispelling the misunderstandings which are based unon the various rumors, particularly the rumor that (Wang's movement) is a camouflaged trick on the part of the Chiang Regime. It will also make clear that Japan would not initiate political negotiations prematurely.

"3. Wang realizes that a friendly relation with Wu would have great influence on the future of his scheme. Wang decided to work on his unpreparedness (original note in pencil: '"hat is the actual meaning?')."

Exhibit H: "URGENT AND CONFIDENTIAL "Sent at 1200, 30 May 1939 "Received at 1320 "Original Central China Telegram No. 276. "Addressed to the Chief of the General Af-6 fairs Section. DOIHARA Agency "From: The Chief of the 2nd Department "Te: 9 KAGESA. "From: 10 "1. WANG and his suite of 13 will fly on 11 the 31st at 9:00 A.M. if weather permits. They will 12 rest at Omura on their way, and then fly directly to 13 14 Tokyo. 15 "2. The Navy informed us that their landing-16 place shall be Yokusuka. 17 "3. KAGESA, ICHIDA, INUKAI and SUGA of the 18 Navy will accompany them. 19 (Note: Telephoned by the General Staff: 20 "1. They will land at Omura at 1:00 21 P.M., 31st 22 "2. They will leave Omura at 2:00 and 23 reach Tokyo about 6:00." 24 That concludes the reading. 25 THE PRESIDENT: We will adjourn until halfpast nine tomorrow morning.
(Whereupon. a

(Whereupon, at 1600, an adjournment was taken until Thursday, 12 June 1947, at 0930.)